

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_111076
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Secondary Metabolites and Thin-Layer Chromatographic Analysis of Carica papaya (Caricaceae) Leaves Ethanolic Extract
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is about phytochemical analysis of Carica papaya plant and research on plant and plant based products has recently gain interest due to their excellent pharmacology properties and less toxicity.</p> <p>Yes the tile is ok</p> <p>Yes abstract is ok however several mistakes are there which is mentioned in my comments I am attaching</p> <p>Yes , but I think discussion needed to be written more clearly</p> <p>No, recent references should be incorporated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes but it is needed to be correct slightly</p>	
<p>Reviewers comments</p>	<p>Comments for the authors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript has been written nicely however several mistakes have been made, and those must be corrected. • In Title of the Article author uses Caricaceae while in the abstract it is written as caricacea. Kindly check. • The authors have not given the Abbreviation for Carica papaya and directly use C. papaya. Kindly insert the abbreviation • Authors kindly check the reference style according to me this is not the write way to write references (Chavunduka, 2017, Mahomoodally, 2017), Fair, & Tor, 2014 • Old references may be replaced with the current references to make manuscript more 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>relevant in the field of interest.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Authors, please specify what do you mean by this statement “Results obtained are presented in frequency tables and charts where necessary”.• In the table 2: in some places positive test is written as +v and some where it is designated as +, kindly use the same style.• In discussion authors please correlate your study with previous literature• What does that different colour spot specifying please mention• Findings of the manuscript should be mentioned clearly.• Language needs improvement and therefore, manuscript should thoroughly checked for grammatical errors.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Divya Gupta
Department, University & Country	Jiwaji University, India