

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_111506
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL & ANTIMICROBIAL ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPED EDIBLE BASKET USING NORI SHEET
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>What is the purpose of writing this article? Keywords should start with a capital letter. Remember that the selected keywords should accurately reflect the content of your paper and be relevant to the research community interested in your topic.</p> <p>The abstract needs to be improved; A well-structured abstract should include a brief introduction, a clear statement of the research question or hypothesis, a description of the methods used, a summary of the main findings, and a statement of the study's conclusions. I would suggest a brief conclusion at the end of the abstract. However, the abstract could further emphasize the potential impact of this research on the food industry and environmental conservation. You can also emphasize the importance of the edible basket as a microbial barrier in food packaging. End the abstract with a strong conclusion summarizing the key findings and their implications. Reinforce the potential of the edible basket as a sustainable and safe alternative to traditional packaging materials.</p> <p>The introduction section of a research paper serves as a foundation for the study, providing a clear statement of the research question or hypothesis and summarizing the relevant literature by highlighting research gaps. A well-structured introduction should follow a logical flow from the general to the specific, offering a concise overview of the study. It is better to include recent publications and your work at the end of your introduction. The purpose of the paper should be clearly stated in the introduction. Consider breaking down the introduction into more clearly defined sections, such as "Background," "Ingredients," and "Objectives," to enhance readability. Ensure that all the references are cited correctly and consistently. For example, it would be helpful to provide publication years for the cited references to give readers a sense of the timeline and relevance of the sources. Explicitly state the objective of the study earlier in the introduction. Conclude the introduction with a concise summary of the key points discussed, reiterating the importance of the study and the unique contributions it makes to the field of sustainable packaging.</p> <p>The conclusion should also provide insights into the implications of the study's findings and suggest avenues for future research. A well-written conclusion should flow logically from the specific to the general and provide a clear and concise overview of the study's main findings and implications. Emphasize the need for further research and development to make biodegradable cutlery more cost-effective. End the conclusion with a strong closing statement that reinforces the paper's contribution to promoting sustainable lifestyles and reducing plastic waste in the context of food consumption. Carefully proofread the conclusion for grammatical errors and typos to enhance overall writing quality.</p> <p>There are some old references, and the authors should present appropriate recent citations. References can be up to date.</p> <p>Some errors in punctuation and editing need to be corrected in this manuscript.</p> <p>The parts I mentioned need to be rewritten and paraphrased.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sargol Mazraedoost
Department, University & Country	Pukyong National University, South Korea