

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110406
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES FACING GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATION OFFICERS IN DAR ES SALAAM CITY: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is suitable for scientific community because its focus was to expose and proffer solutions to one of the myriads of challenges facing the contemporary society.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive because the author revealed what the study tend to achieve and the techniques that will be adopted in order to achieve a complete result.</p> <p>Yes. They aligned with the title of the study.</p> <p>Yes. Following what was stated on the body of the study, communication officers are always discriminated from the main body of policy-making and implementation.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, though there are numerous grammatical errors, wordy sentences, complex sentences, punctuation errors and irregular use of active and passive sentences.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The study is publishable with minor revisions. The author should write in full abbreviations. The methodology adopted is swallow. From the nature of the research, the study adopted mixed research approach. The author should not fail to identify the technique for data collection and analysis.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Umunakwe Bruno Onyinye</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nigeria, Nigeria</b>