

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110406
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES FACING GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATION OFFICERS IN DAR ES SALAAM CITY: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Additional literature review is needed to emphasize the research position</p> <p>2. A CRITICAL ANALYSIS: THE CHALLENGES FACING GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATION OFFICERS IN DAR ES SALAAM CITY</p> <p>3. re-check the use of "where" and "were" in the abstract. re-check the font size. adding an introduction to the theory of analysis would be better.</p> <p>4. Sections "Functions of Government Communication Officers," "Statement of the Problem," and "Theoretical Perspective," as well as sections "The Excellence Theory" and "Methodology," may be shortened based on the information available on the journal's website.</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. there are still many reference sources below 2010, and there are still fewer reference sources above 2020.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>the use of English is still lacking, there must be improvements in the use of sentences that are adjusted, but do not become fatal errors. there are still some English words that are similar but still confused such as "were" and "where".</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bambang Dwi Waluyo
Department, University & Country	Universitas Lambung Mangkurat, Indonesia