

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_111491
Title of the Manuscript:	Are breast implants associated with an increased risk of Breast cancer?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. No. This is an inadequate paper which is not a suitable review article. It is a summary of three other articles along with a listing of their results. This is not a comprehensive review of the literature on this topic. Its results are not meaningful and do not contribute anything to the literature, nor do they adequately confirm what is known.</p> <p>2. No. The title of the article is misleading, as breast implants are <u>not</u> associated with breast cancer and this article does not demonstrate that. BIA-ALCL is <u>not</u> a breast cancer, and a review article on this topic should be aware of that.</p> <p>https://www.plasticsurgery.org/patient-safety/breast-implant-safety/bia-alcl-summary "This is a cancer of the immune system, not a type of breast cancer"</p> <p>3. Yes, but needs to be remove reference to breast cancer.</p> <p>4. Yes. Format is appropriate, but the article itself is not scientifically correct and significantly lacking in its scope.</p> <p>5. No. The methods say nothing about how articles were searched for and found, and say nothing about the exact analysis of these articles. ALCL is a popular topic, and I am certain that there are more than three articles describing cases in the literature. None of these other articles are referenced. This would be an appropriate topic for a meta-analysis, however this article falls far short of that.</p> <p>6. No. This topic needs a much more extensive review of the literature and a comprehensive list of all articles on this topic.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>A far more comprehensive look at the literature needs to be performed, likely in the form of a meta-analysis, for this to be a suitable article for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michal Brichacek
Department, University & Country	University of Manitoba, Canada