

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_111584
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Analysis and Instability in Groundnut Area, Production, Productivity in India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The title has many words but there are no clear definition on the term "productivity", "production", "growth" and instability". - This paper lack of clear description on the current scenario of the groundnut in India and need to show the total production of groundnut for India over the years to indicate the need to study the "production" and "productivity". - Also need to have the current contribution of groundnut to India as it was stated that groundnut is significant to India. - No clear description of the current research of groundnuts production, productivity, growth and instability in India. - There is inadequate review of past studies pertaining to the field of study - There are no clear justifications on the various methodological tools, such as criteria of samples, sample size etc used for this study. - The paper stated various indexes of measure the growth Cuddy-Della Valle index, Coppock Index but there were not used in the analyses for this study. Wonder what are these indexes are for? - The analysis were data obtained from secondary source and mostly frequency and descriptive instead of inferential analysis and the use of Cuddy-Della Valle index, Coppock Index. - The conclusion is rather brief and lack of explanation on the results can be used by various stakeholders to narrow the current research gap - Ensure that all the references were cited in the content using the relevant referencing format. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chiam Chooi Chea
Department, University & Country	Open University of Malaysia, Malaysia