

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_111660
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematological Perturbations in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Positive Patients Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy in Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The study has a major limitation of data being collected from only one health centre hence, the findings cannot be generalised. This reduces its importance in the scientific community as the sample size is also small.</p> <p>2. The title of the study the study is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The manuscript needs a section about the rationale of the study and clearly stated objectives.</p> <p>5. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It would be better if the findings from the tables are incorporated in the RESULTS subsection of the manuscript instead of including it in the DISCUSSION subsection.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Sarode
Department, University & Country	International Institute of Population Science, India