

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_111660
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematological Perturbations in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Positive Patients Receiving Antiretroviral Therapy in Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-Yes</p> <p>2- Title: I suggest change the word "perturbations" for the word "disturbance or alterations".</p> <p>3- The results and conclusion of abstract is not comprehensive, don't show the real findings of the research in the TARV-HIV group, I suggest be more specific.</p> <p>4- Yes, but some observation:</p> <p>Introduction: -It would be interesting to mention which hematological alteration result from TARV use. -In the last sentence of introduction mention that this are patients using TARV.</p> <p>Results: -Check the table p-value, some is not necessary EX: Table 3 duration of HIV infection. -Pay attention in the asterisk p value (some is not necessary). -Table 3 and 4, put PCV in the legend. -Table 3 and 4, pay attention in metric international measurements. EX: hours = h not hr.</p> <p>Conclusion: The first sentence must respond the aim of manuscript. I suggest describethe most importants hematological changes findings in the study population (anemia, leukopenia...)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor revision is necessary. EX: Change some words: Perturbation, aberration (INTRODUCTION and DISCUSSION), subjects control (is better control group).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sandra Mara Witkowski
Department, University & Country	University of Vale do Itajaí, Brazil