

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111746
Title of the Manuscript:	A preliminary study on the research progress of music psychology and its integration in middle school education
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I have gone through this article carefully. The author has collected some random researches from various sources and just prepared a summary. So this article has limited importance for scientific community.</li> <li>2. Title is ok.</li> <li>3. The abstract serves the purpose.</li> <li>4. Yes.</li> <li>5. Not exactly as the studies cited in this article are quite varied. Many do not have close relationship with the theme.</li> <li>6. The author has given references which are a few in number. Te author could have taken more trouble of finding more researches in this area.</li> </ol> <p>Note: If my honest opinion is taken, then this article is a very elementary article and cannot be labelled a Research Paper. Conclusions drawn by the author are based on other researchers' work. This limits the scope of this article. The author could have set up proper experimental design and obtained data from the appropriate sample.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Quality of Language is acceptable.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I do not know if publication of this article in a research journal of repute will be acceptable. I would like to recommend that researcher should conduct experiment which has far more value.	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Keshav Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Himachal Pradesh University, Guru Nanak Dev University, India</b>