

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AJEBA_111653</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Investigating the Impact of Knowledge Transfer, Innovation Capabilities, and Open Innovation Implementation on SME Performance in Yogyakarta</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1.Yes</p> <p>2.Yes</p> <p>3.Yes</p> <p>4.Yes</p> <p>5.Yes</p> <p>6.Yes</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>Yes</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clearly state the specific objectives of the research in the introduction.</li> <li>Provide a more comprehensive literature review that discusses previous research on open innovation in Indonesia, even if it's not specific to Yogyakarta.</li> <li>Clearly articulate the hypotheses derived from the literature review.</li> <li>Provide more details about the sampling method and how the respondents were selected.</li> <li>Clarify why the chosen sample size of 202 respondents was deemed appropriate for the research.</li> <li>Offer a brief explanation of why SPSS and PLS-SEM with SmartPLS were chosen as the analytical tools.</li> <li>Present the key findings in a structured manner, including statistical significance and effect sizes if applicable.</li> <li>Discuss any unexpected or interesting results and relate them to the existing literature.</li> <li>Elaborate on the practical implications of the research for SMEs in Yogyakarta.</li> <li>Ensure clarity and coherence in writing. Avoid overly complex sentences or technical jargon that may hinder comprehension for a broader audience.</li> <li>Summarize the key findings and their implications concisely.</li> </ul> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Hlaing Htake Khaung Tin</b>                       |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Information Technology, Myanmar</b> |