

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_111083
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Identification and Antibigram of Bacteria isolated from Raw Cow Milk in Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the bacterial contamination of raw cow milk, antibiotic sensitivity of the bacteria, and the potential public health implications. Understanding the prevalence of specific bacteria and their antibiotic resistance in raw milk is crucial for food safety and public health. 2. The title of the article, "Isolation, Identification and Antibigram of Bacteria isolated from Raw Cow Milk in Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the study. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the objectives, methods, key findings, and implications of the study. It effectively provides an overview of the research conducted. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate, providing clear organization and flow of information. The manuscript is well-structured, with clear delineation of sections such as Introduction, Material and Methods, Results, and Discussion. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct based on the information provided. The methodology for bacterial isolation, identification, and antibiotic sensitivity testing follows standard procedures, and the results are presented clearly. 6. The references provided appear to be sufficient and relevant. However, it would be beneficial to ensure that the references cover recent developments in the field of bacterial contamination in raw milk and antibiotic resistance. Additional references could include recent studies on similar topics, especially those related to antibiotic resistance in foodborne pathogens and public health implications. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written and effectively communicates the research findings, methods, and implications. The language is clear, and the manuscript adheres to scholarly writing standards. Overall, the language quality is appropriate for publication in a scholarly context.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Xu-Guang Guo
Department, University & Country	Guangzhou Medical University, China