

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_111575
Title of the Manuscript:	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES OF PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOL LEADERS IN DAVAO CITY: A PHENOMENOLOGICAL MULTIPLE CASE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The importance of the manuscript for the scientific community hinges on its contribution to the understanding of financial management challenges and strategies in the educational sector, particularly within public secondary schools. Given the specificity of the context—public secondary schools in Davao City, Philippines—the manuscript's relevance may be more significant for regional educational administrators and policymakers. However, its findings could also resonate with a broader audience interested in educational finance management, offering insights into the practical challenges and strategies that can be applied in similar contexts globally. Without the actual title provided, it is challenging to assess its suitability. 2. However, a suitable title for the article, based on the provided content, could be "Financial Management Challenges and Strategies in Public Secondary Schools: A Case Study from Davao City, Philippines." If the current title does not reflect the specific focus on school size and the phenomenological approach used, it may need to be revised to incorporate these elements. 3. The abstract of the article should provide a succinct summary of the research, including the context, objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. Based on the information provided, it is not clear if the abstract comprehensively covers all these aspects. A comprehensive abstract should also mention the phenomenological multiple case study approach and the use of Colaizzi's data analysis technique, as these are critical to understanding the research methodology. 4. The abstract of the article should provide a succinct summary of the research, including the context, objectives, methods, key findings, and implications. Based on the information provided, it is not clear if the abstract comprehensively covers all these aspects. A comprehensive abstract should also mention the phenomenological multiple case study approach and the use of Colaizzi's data analysis technique, as these are critical to understanding the research methodology. 5. The scientific correctness of the manuscript can only be fully assessed by reviewing the actual research methods, data analysis, and the way findings are presented and discussed. The use of stratified-convenience sampling, in-depth interviews, and established data analysis techniques suggests an attempt to adhere to scientific research standards. However, the validity and reliability of the findings would depend on how these methods were implemented and whether the analysis was conducted rigorously. 6. The scientific correctness of the manuscript can only be fully assessed by reviewing the actual research methods, data analysis, and the way findings are presented and discussed. The use of stratified-convenience sampling, in-depth interviews, and established data analysis techniques suggests an attempt to adhere to scientific research standards. However, the validity and reliability of the findings would depend on how these methods were implemented and whether the analysis was conducted rigorously. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article, based on the excerpts provided, appear to be generally suitable for scholarly communication. The sentences are coherent, and the terminology is appropriate for an academic audience. However, there are a few instances where the phrasing could be improved for clarity and conciseness.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rasmi Nur Anggraeni
Department, University & Country	Palu Muhammadiyah University, Indonesia