

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</b>             |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMCS_109455</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>DIDACTIC APPROACHES TO PROOFS IN MATHEMATICS:DIFFICULTIES OF PROOFS</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In my opinion, this manuscript is not a scientific work. It does not contain a statement of a scientific problem and a method for solving it. The work does not contain examples of proofs in mathematics, their comparative analysis, or our own recommendations their construction for improving students' understanding of proofs. The article is a discussion on the topic of evidence.</li> <li>The title corresponds to the content of the article.</li> <li>It is difficult to understand the essence of the work from the abstract.</li> <li>The subsections and structure of the work are in full compliance.</li> <li>In my opinion, this manuscript is not scientifically correct.</li> <li>I believe that authors needs to find more recent references on this topic.</li> </ol> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>  | <p>The quality of the language/English of the article is suitable for scientific communication.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>The possibility of publishing this manuscript in the Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science is at the discretion of the editors</p>   |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Tetiana Nadryhailo</b>                             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Dniprovsky State Technical University, Ukraine</b> |