

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_109455
Title of the Manuscript:	DIDACTIC APPROACHES TO PROOFS IN MATHEMATICS:DIFFICULTIES OF PROOFS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is comprehensive.</p> <p>3. The structure in general is good, but its content seems a little superficial to me.</p> <p>4. By citing a large number of sources in this "bibliography review", it would be necessary to read all the works to form an opinion on this issue. At the same time, I judge the good intention of the work, which suggests to me that it is consistent with the objectives it sets out to achieve.</p> <p>5. There are 16 references. I believe there are good numbers for a job of this size.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is not my native language, and therefore I am not familiar with all its grammatical subtleties. However, I can say that the English of the article is at the same level as that of several other works that I have already had the opportunity to read/review.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Simal Moreira
Department, University & Country	UniFOA, Centro Universitário de Volta Redonda, Brazil