

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_109455
Title of the Manuscript:	DIDACTIC APPROACHES TO PROOFS IN MATHEMATICS:DIFFICULTIES OF PROOFS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for Pedagogic.</p> <p>2. The article title given is by the article's contents.</p> <p>3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points. However, the abstract should contain the research objectives, methodology (type of research, research subjects, sampling, data collection instruments and tools, research procedures, and data analysis), as well as research results and findings.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, kindly attention to the structure of writing a research article, it must contain an introduction, methodology (type of research, subject, and sampling, data collection instruments or tools, research procedures, and data analysis), research results, and discussion, and conclusions.</p> <p>5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>6. References are already using the latest edition. However, please add recent references (at least the last 5 years)</p> <p>7. Kindly add GAP analysis so that the novelty of this paper is clear.</p> <p>8. In conclusion, please only use two paragraphs. where paragraph one discusses findings and paragraph two discusses recommendations based on findings.</p> <p>9. Kindly attention to the journal template.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia