

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_111476</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different organic sources of nitrogen on growth, yield, quality, and economics of vegetable cluster bean (<i>Cyamopsis tetragonoloba</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>It is important because: the test crop is leguminous crop used for N Fixation in addition to food source, but fixed nitrogen is not enough for growing of leguminous crops so, it provides information how to improve crop yield and fertility of soil with increasing activities of soil organism due to application of organic fertilizer</b></p> <p>2. <b>In my opinion It is relevant</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, but all sub section is not clearly justified especially objective related with his/her tittle, materials and methods (research area discription, experimental design, experimental procedure and data collection and analysis, result and decoction are not explainedthree-year data)</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language of the article is fairly suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Try to clarify statements of problems, explain clear methodology (data collection and analysis), dissection three-year result independently for your manuscript</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tadesse Moges</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia</b>