

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_111836
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Methods to Assess the Positioning of Rainwater Harvesting Systems in University Campuses
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes (The manuscript is important for the scientific community as it introduces a practical application of the TOPSIS method for determining optimal rainwater harvesting system locations on a university campus). Need some Correction (Assessing the Positioning of Rainwater Harvesting Systems in University Campuses Using Multi-Criteria Decision-Making Methods). Yes Yes (Need some minor revision) Yes (Based on the provided information, the manuscript appears scientifically sound, employing Multi-Criteria Decision-Making methods and the TOPSIS approach to assess optimal rainwater harvesting system locations on a university campus, supported by real data and relevant methodology). Need some more references and the format needs to be revised as mentioned in the manuscript <p>Consider briefly emphasizing the practical implications of the findings and how they contribute to sustainable water management in university campuses. Additionally, explicitly mention the manuscript's potential applications or relevance for researchers and practitioners involved in rainwater harvesting and Multi-Criteria Decision-Making methods.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes (The text is generally well-structured, and the language is clear and technically sound).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript effectively explores the application of TOPSIS in rainwater harvesting system positioning. Ensure consistent terminology and consider refining sentence structures for improved clarity. Emphasize the practical implications of the findings and highlight the manuscript's relevance for researchers and practitioners in water management. Overall, it's a valuable contribution to the field.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudasir Rashid Bhat
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Economics & HBM, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, India