

Climate Change and Phenological Monitoring of the Indigenous Godello Variety in a Territory of Heroic Viticulture and Biosphere Reserve

ABSTRACT

An evaluation of the phenological behaviour of the indigenous Godello variety of vineyard was conducted within the Souto Chao plot in the year 2023. This plot is located in a wine-growing region holding a Designation of Origin (Ribeira Sacra DO) located in the North-West of the Iberian Peninsula. A similar study had been conducted in 2017 and 2018, focusing on the same Godello variety within the identical plot involving the same plant as the current study.

The assessment utilized the BBCH scale, a system proposed by *Biologische Bundesanstalt für Land und Forstwirtschaft, Bundessortenamt und Chemische Industrie*.

The average duration of the vegetative cycle in the initial two years under examination was 167 days (161 and 174 days in 2017 and 2018, respectively), while in 2023 it was 164 days. In these three years studies during 2017, 2018 and 2023, the longest phenological phase was of 79 days when majority of the berries were touching).

The primary objective of this study is to ascertain the nature and extent of changes in the crop's phenology within the timeframe between the initial two years analysed (2017 and 2018) and the present year, 2023.

Keywords: Phenology, *Vitis*, Godello variety, Heroic Viticulture, Biosphere Reserve

1. Introduction

Ribeira Sacra is considered one of the greatest examples of what is known as heroic viticulture. This term refers to the terrain conditions which makes it very difficult to work on the vineyards, as it is cultivated on terraces and the slope of the land which are very steep.

It is located in the interior of Galicia (Northwest Spain), it is an area of great scenic wealth, recognised worldwide as a Biosphere Reserve by UNESCO in 2021, by Resolution of 5 October. https://www.boe.es/diario_boe/txt.php?id=BOE-A-2021-16794

The territory includes areas in the south of the province of Lugo and the north of Ourense. The rivers Miño, Sil and Cabe flow through the area, forming meanders and impressive canyons. This is the territory for vine cultivation on high slopes, with landscapes of great biological richness from both the faunal and floral perspectives. The cultivation is located at the places that are very difficult for winegrowers to access, because the orography prevents mechanised cultivation. The information of grapevine phenology over several seasons provides essential information on the characteristics of wine-growing regions, allowing cultural practices and phytosanitary treatments to be better planned. The phenological

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [I1]: Cite appropriately please.

Comment [I2]:

Comment [I3]: Merge into a single paragraph.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

studies are very important because they are closely related and easily affected by global warming and climate change. The characterisation of its possible behaviour could serve as a reference for the definition of strategies in crop planning, especially for vineyards due to their great importance in this area.

The information about and major changes in the development cycle of the plants due to rising temperatures would lead to better understanding of the management of the crop. Addressing the impact of potential climate change on vine phenology will allow the wine industry in future to define some strategies that could be useful for further vineyard planning, such as shifting the cultivation of grape to more northerly areas that have comparatively milder temperatures. One of the examples is the major expansion seen in United Kingdom (UK), where the area under vines has increased by about 150% in recent years as a result of global warming and climate change [1, 2].

The study focuses on a Designation of Origin (DO) of enormous importance in the production of various wines, with great character and wide acceptance in international markets. The initial studies on phenology and phytopathology in Ribeira Sacra vineyards were conducted in 2017 [3, 4].

Recent data could help us to understand the effects of climate change on vine cultivation, a plant that is very sensitive to climatic variations, and to know its response to the different scenarios produced [5].

The study of the phenological phases of the vine reflects how the development and growth of vegetative and fruiting organs takes place, and there is a close relationship with climatic and cultural conditions [1].

It has been observed that the harvesting dates have been brought forward considerably in the period between the end of the 20th century and the beginning of the 21st century to avoid the accumulation of excess alcohol content and also to preserve the freshness of the wines, but this in turn brings another major problem; the skins and seeds, which gives the wine important organoleptic properties such as astringency, colour and aromas, do not ripen at the same time and rate as the pulp and develop slowly. In white wines, this results into the wines that is heavier and harder on the palate, less agile and also difficult to preserve. However, this problem is not universal for all grape varieties and different regions. For example, Galician white wines, such as the Godello variety, where viticulture is adapted to cooler areas, have become fresher and more aromatic, therefore have gained a greater market share [6].

Climate change has become one of the world's major concerns for wine production, as vine cultivation is very sensitive to changes in climatic conditions, especially changes in temperature and solar radiation. These factors are crucial for the ripening of the fruit, which means that harvests are being shifted little earlier, with the aforementioned risks of altering the chemical composition of the grape and the organoleptic characteristics of the product obtained [7, 8]. In addition to the changes in the chemical composition of the wine, the increase in temperature causes pests and plants to react to these changes. Temperatures are not only the result of warmer summer days, but also of fewer cold days and less frost, which favours the growth of fungi and pests, altering the interaction of the disease triangle (host-agent-pathogen-environment) and thus ultimately reducing the crop production [9, 10].

2. Materials and Methods

Comment [14]: Please merge into a single paragraph.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

2.1. Location and climatic characteristics of the study area

The study was conducted in one vineyard in Ribeira Sacra (Souto Chao) during the year 2023, and previously the studies were conducted in years 2017 and 2018 (Figure 1).

Ribeira Sacra comprises 2,500 ha of vineyards. The orography of the terrain requires the vines to be planted on very steep slopes, which the *Centro di Ricerca, Studi, Salvaguardia, Coordinamento e Valorizzazione per la Viticoltura di Montagna*, CERVIM, describes as "heroic viticulture".

<http://www.cervim.org/>




Figure 1 Location of the Ribeira Sacra area in the North-West of the Iberian Peninsula (Spain)

The Souto Chao vineyard is located at 438 m.a.s.l. on the lower terraces along the banks of the River Sil, following the contours and with slopes of up to 80% ($42^{\circ}24'27.67''$ N, $7^{\circ}28'20.06''$ W). The soils are shallow and of low fertility, which limits the growth of the vine shoots [11].

During the warmest month, temperatures on the terraces closest to the river (Souto Chao) can be up to one and a half degrees higher than the average for Ribeira Sacra as a whole. The river has a significant thermoregulatory effect, especially when combined with the favourable South-Southeast exposure of the vineyards. On the other hand, in the higher parts, especially in the areas where orientation is not so favourable for viticulture, there is a negative temperature variation of similar magnitude [12].

The Godello variety has small to medium sized grapes, conical in shape, with fairly uniform berries of medium to high compactness, with a medium sized stalk and little lignification at the base. The leaf is medium to large, pentagonal and has five lobes [13].

The weather data was collected and the average maximum and minimum temperature, relative humidity, hours of sunshine and rainfall are presented in Table 1. The data was

Comment [I5]: Capitalize each word please.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [I6]: Cite appropriately.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

collected from the station located near to vineyard study area, which belongs to the Meteogalicia weather service. <https://www.meteogalicia.gal>.

Comment [I7]: Let these be a single paragraph.

Comment [I8]: See above comment on this.

		Ribeira Sacra		
Annual average meteorological data	Year	2017	2018	2023
	Tmax (°C)	21.2	21.2	22.15
	Tmin (°C)	9.2	7.9	10.9
	Tmean (°C)	13.6	14.2	15.05
	RH mean (%)	74.3	77.5	74.8
	Sunshine (hours)	5.7	4.6	6.3
Annual total	Rainfall (L/m ²)	693.9	804.4	248.6
	Daily rainfall (L/m ²)	64.9	39.2	19.1
Maximum	Date	Mar 10 th	Mar 11 th	Jun 12 th

Table 1 Weather data of the study area during de crop season(2017, 2018 and 2023)

Comment [I9]: Let the table number and title be above the table.

2.2. Phenological Pprotocol and Fieldwork

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

The initial phenological studies in the Souto Chao plot were carried out in 2017 and 2018. After 5 years, the phenology was studied again on the plants of same variety from the same plot. Although the time interval is not very long, we tried to obtain some important data on how climate change affected the vine cultivation in this area of particular orography and terraced cultivation systems on the slopes of the river.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

We studied the phenological stages of the Godello cultivar according to the scale adopted by the BBCH, which is the standardised scale by Lorenz [14] (Table 2). The phenological observations were applied to randomly selected 10 vines distributed throughout the plot. The study was carried out during the active grapevine cycle, from the 1st of March 2023 until the grape harvest in last week of August 2023. During the sampling period, the vineyard was visited weekly, except during the flowering period, when the number of visits was increased to twice a week. In order to determine the phenological calendar of the vines, the beginning of each stage was considered to be when 50% of the plants under study had reached that particular stage. From the BBCH scale, a total of 18 phenological phases belonging to the six main stages were selected for observation.

STAGES (St)	PHASES (Ph)
0: Sprouting	03 - End of bud swelling: buds swollen, but not green
	05 - "Wool stage": brown wool clearly visible
	09 - Bud burst: green shoot tips clearly visible
1: Leaf development	11 - First leaf unfolded and spread away from shoot
	13 - 3rd leaves unfolded (continuous)
	15 - 5th leaves unfolded

5: Inflorescence emerge	53 - Inflorescences clearly visible
	55 - Inflorescences swelling, flowers closely pressed together
	57 - Inflorescences fully developed; flowers separating
6: Flowering	61 - Beginning of flowering: 10% of flowerhoods fallen
	65 - Full flowering: 50% of flowerhoods fallen
	69 - End of flowering
7: Development of fruits	71 - Fruit set: young fruits begin to swell, remains of flowers lost
	75 - Berries pea-sized, bunches hang
	79 - Majority of berries touching
8: Ripening of berries	81 - Beginning of ripening: berries begin to develop variety-specific colour
	85 - Softening of berries
	89 - Berries ripe for harvest

Table 2 Stages and Phases of the BBCH-Skala considered in this study

2.3. Thermal heat requirements

Heat requirements were calculated according to the criterion proposed by Galan [15], which took into account the daily sum of maximum temperatures (Growing Degrees-Days, GDD) from the end of the cold period to the beginning of each phenological phase. $GDD\text{ }^{\circ}C = \sum T_{i_{max}}$

$T_{i_{max}}$ = daily maximum temperatures in a number of days *i*.

The F-test of statistical analysis determines whether the variability between the means of the groups is greater than the variability of the observations within the groups. If this ratio is sufficiently large, it can be concluded that not all means are equal. In this study it gives a relatively high value, due to the fact that it is a one-year sample where the sample size was not too large (Table 3).

The optimum temperatures requirements for this crop are different at different stages of development, so it is necessary to determine these conditions.

	2017		2018		2023	
	days	GDD	days	GDD	days	GDD
Stage 0 (St 0)	21	1425	23	1549	31	1175
Stage 1 (St 1)	21	1945	21	1810	23	1883
Stage 5 (St 5)	26	2552	32	2348	23	2405
Stage 6 (St 6)	14	2923	14	2939	19	2881
Stage 7 (St 7)	46	3387	45	3519	42	3712
Stage 8 (St 8)	33	5150	39	5233	26	4933
Cycle Duration	161		174		164	

Table 3 Duration of the stages and thermal requirements (growing degrees-days, GDD)

Comment [I10]: Let the table title and number be at the top of each table throughout the manuscript.

Comment [I11]: Sentence case please.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Comment [I12]: A single paragraph please.

Comment [I13]: See above comment on tables' title.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Two statistical tests were used to determine possible differences in the length of the stage variation, within and between groups: two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's honest significant differences (Tukey's HSD).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Test ANOVA: by stage-Godello variety (Df: degrees of freedom; Sum Sq: Sum of square; Mean Sq: mean square; plevel=0.1) and Tukey HSD between Stages (Lower and Higher are the ends of the confidence interval; plevel=0.05).TUKEY HSD/KRAMER Q-test: between groups (mean; std err; q-stat; lower; upper, p-value; mean-crit).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

3. Results

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

3.1. Phenological behaviour of the Godello variety

The vegetative growth period of the Godello variety was calculated from the end of bud swelling, when the buds were swollen but not green (phase 03), stage 0: sprouting, to the berries ripe for harvesting (phase 89), stage 8: Ripening of the berries. During the first study in 2017, the vegetative cycle of the vine was from March to August, while in 2018 the vegetative cycle was from April to September.

Comment [I14]: See above comments for titles.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

In the year 2017, which was the first year of study, the tagging was done and records have been kept of the plants in which harvesting was done for the first time in August 2017. In this wine-growing region, harvesting is usually carried out in the month of September. In this present study of 2023, the grape growing season was again from March to August, i.e., for the second time the grapes were harvested again in August 2023, specifically in the second fortnight.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Comment [I15]: Please merge the paragraphs.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

The drought and high spring temperatures are resulting into early harvesting of the crop in the areas such as Ribeira Sacra, which is threatening and leading to reduced production. The variety Godello is easily affected and is also sensitive to this new situation. Due to the fact that the high temperatures arrive early and accelerate the ripening process, vine growers and wine makers are indicating that the harvesting must begin four to five days earlier each year, which also depends on the variety, with the white variety i.e., Godello which is being easily affected. In the three years of our study, the longest phenological phase (Ph) was 79 (majority of berries touching), with a duration of 34, 27 and 20 days in 2017, 2018 and 2023 respectively.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

The shortest phase in 2017 was Ph 61 (Beginning of flowering: 10 % of flowerhoods fallen) with a duration of 3 days. In 2018, Ph 11 (First leaf unfolded and spread away from shoot); Ph 65 (Full flowering: 50 % of flowerhoods fallen) and Ph 69 (End of flowering), with a duration of 3 days each. In the present study carried out in 2023, the shortest phase was Ph 55 (Inflorescences swelling, flowers closely pressed together) with a duration of 5 days. The differences between the longest and shortest phases in 2017, 2018 and 2023 were 31, 24 and 15 days respectively. It follows that in the latter year there was less contrast in terms of the duration of the different phenological phases.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

The difference between the longest and the shortest stage (St) in 2017, 2018 and 2023 was 32, 31 and 23 days, respectively (St 7: development of fruits and St 6: flowering). In terms

of the phenological phases, the phenological stages in the last year of the study showed a smaller difference in duration between them (Table 4).

The average length of the growing season in the two years was 167 days (161 and 174 days in 2017 and 2018, respectively), while in 2023 it was 164 days. Considering 2017 as exceptional, where the harvest was brought forward to August for the first time, the year 2023 confirms once again this exceptionality; the harvest was again in August.

All the evidences, point us to change the trend to a relatively short period of time. Furthermore, phenological studies in the future should confirm this working hypothesis.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Godello Souto Chao

Stages	Phases	Start Date		Duration of phase		Mean	2023	
		2017	2018	2017	2018		Start date	Duration of phase
0	3	18-Mar	3-Apr	7	12	10	17-Mar	8
	5	25-Mar	15-Apr	8	7	8	25-Mar	7
	9	2-Apr	22-Apr	6	4	5	31-Mar	16
1	11	8-Apr	28-Apr	7	3	5	16-Apr	8
	13	15-Apr	1-May	7	9	8	24-Apr	7
	15	22-Apr	10-May	7	9	8	1-May	8
5	53	29-Apr	19-May	8	4	6	9-May	10
	55	6-May	23-May	14	10	12	19-May	5
	57	20-May	2-Jun	4	18	11	24-May	8
6	61	24-May	20-Jun	3	8	6	1-Jun	6
	65	27-May	28-Jun	7	3	5	7-Jun	6
	69	3-Jun	1-Jul	4	3	4	13-Jun	7
7	71	7-Jun	4-Jul	4	4	4	20-Jun	7
	75	11-Jun	8-Jul	8	14	11	27-Jun	15
	79	19-Jun	22-Jul	34	27	31	12-Jul	20
8	81	22-Jul	18-Aug	6	7	7	1-Aug	8
	85	28-Jul	25-Aug	22	22	22	10-Aug	12
	89	19-Aug	16-Sep	5	10	8	22-Aug	6


Table 4 Average dates of the beginning of each phenological phase and duration in the different years for the Godello variety

The standard deviation of the average start date of the various phenological stages exhibited lower values for the period 2017/2023 (6 compared to 16 for 2017/2018 and 10 for 2018/2023). This result indicates a lower variability of the start dates of the phenological stages from one period to another. Regarding the mean values of the duration of each phenological stage, the values are similar for the three periods studied (value of 3), indicating that there are no significant differences. The lowest variability in the average start date of the different phenological stages for the period 2017/2023 corresponds to the only two years recorded so far, where sprouting and harvest took place from March to August. (Figure 2a; 2b).

Comment [I16]: At the top of the table please. See previous comments.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Godello Start Date S.D.



Start Date S.D.




Figure 2a Start date of the Standard Deviation (S. D.) for each phenological stage with the average values (Error bars included in the first graph)





Figure 2b Duration of the Standard Deviation (S. D.) for each phenological stage with the average values. (Error bars included in the first graph)

3.2. Analysis of Meteorological Parameters

The phenological phases included in main stage 0 (St 0: Sprouting) take place this year from mid-March to the end of March. During this phase, the lowest average maximum and minimum temperatures (17.2°C and 6.6°C, respectively) were recorded. Stage 1 (St 1: Leaf development) takes place from mid-April to early May. From then until the beginning of June, stage 5 (St 5: Inflorescence emerge) took place.

The next stage 6 (St 6: Flowering) took place from the beginning to the middle of June. The highest rainfall value (19.1 mm of rainfall) was recorded at this stage, namely on 12 June. Stage 7 (St 7: Development of fruits), runs from June to the beginning of August. Finally, the last phenological stage of the vegetative cycle of the vine 8 (St 8: Ripening of berries), occurred between the beginning of August and the end of the same month, recording the highest average maximum, minimum and average temperatures (29.9°C, 14.8°C and 21.7°C, respectively) (Figure 3).

The accumulated heat units for berry ripening (St 8) reached the levels of around 5000 GDD. Thus, the thermal requirements needed to overcome the different phenological stages ranged from 1175 GDD for stage 0 (St 0) to 4933 for stage 8 (St 8). (Table 3).

Heat requirements were determined by calculating the accumulated sum of daily maximum temperatures (in growing degree days, GDD) from the conclusion of the cold period until the onset of each phenological phase for the Godello Variety (*Vitis vinifera* L.) grown in the Souto Chao plot on the slopes of the Sil River, where the particular orography of this territory, with slopes of up to 80%, as well as the proximity to the river, determine the conditions for vine cultivation.

In these areas it is essential to conserve the scarce soil moisture and to reduce erosion processes. One of the techniques used by winegrowers is mulching, the main objective of which is to prevent the proliferation of weeds. Non-living mulches have a number of advantages. These include improved soil structure, disease and pest control, crop quality improvement, which reaps financial benefits. The primary benefits, however, are associated with weed control. Weed suppression can result in significant long-term labour and herbicide savings. It is critical to select the appropriate mulch for the situation. The effectiveness of the mulch will vary depending on the prevailing weed problems and the surrounding environment [16, 17](Figure 4).

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"




Figure 3 Length of each phenological phase in the different years of study

UNDER PEER




Figure 4 Vineyards in Ribeira Sacra. Godello variety in the Souto Chao plot

3.3. Statistical Analysis

The ANOVA test results show that no significant differences were found between the samples analysed in terms of the duration of each stage and phenological stage in the different years of the study.

The calculated F value was lower than the *critical F* value. The α value (0.05) is also lower than the p -value (0.93835). In the samples analysed, the value found is 0.06370, which is lower than the value of 3.17879.

This result has also been confirmed by the Tukey HSD/KRAMER Q test where the α value 0.05, is lower than the p -value calculated between the different groups with values of: 0.93804; 0.9965 and 0.96284 (Table 5).

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

ANOVA Test

Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Souto Chao 2017	18	161	8,944444444	58,0555556
Souto Chao 2018	18	174	9,666666667	46,7058824
Souto Chao 2023	18	164	9,111111111	16,4575163

Source of Variation	Sum sq	df	Mean sq	F	P-value	F crit
Between groups	5,148148148	2	2,574074074	0,06370474	0,938356536	3,178799292
Whitin groups	2060,722222	51	40,40631808			
Total	2065,87037	53				

TUKEY HSD/KRAMER

alpha 0,05

group	mean	n	ss	df	q-crit
Souto Chao 2017	8,944444444	18	986,9444444		
Souto Chao 2018	9,666666667	18	794		
Souto Chao 2023	9,111111111	18	279,7777778		
		54	2060,722222	51	3,413823529

Q TEST

group 1	group 2	mean	std err	q-stat	lower	upper	p-value	mean-crit	Cohen d
Souto Chao 2017	Souto Chao 2018	0,7222222	1,498264145	0,48203931	-4,39258717	5,837031615	0,938045	5,11480939	0,11361776
Souto Chao 2017	Souto Chao 2023	0,1666667	1,498264145	0,11123984	-4,948142726	5,281476059	0,9965949	5,11480939	0,02621948
Souto Chao 2018	Souto Chao 2023	0,5555556	1,498264145	0,37079947	-4,559253837	5,670364948	0,9628401	5,11480939	0,08739827

Table 5 Statistical analysis in the different years. The values of the Test ANOVA were also shown and calculated: Df=degrees of freedom; Sum Sq=sum of square; Mean Sq=mean square; F; P-value and F crit. The TUKEY HSD/KRAMER was also calculated between the different groups (mean; std err; q-stat; lower; upper; p-value; mean-crit and Cohen d)

Comment [I17]: Label the table accordingly

Formatted: Right: -0.2", Tab stops: Not at 0.2"

4. Discussion

The active vegetative period of the vine (considered from bud burst to harvest) was longer in 2018, while it was similar in the other two years (2017 and 2023). However, the length of the Godello cycle was 161, 174 and 164 days in 2017, 2018 and 2023 respectively.

Previous studies carried out in this wine region on Mencía, the predominant variety, reported very similar results to those obtained here for Godello [8]. The fact that 2018 shows a significant difference compared to the other two years of study can be attributed to the higher minimum temperatures recorded throughout the vegetative cycle during that year, which leads to a longer development time of the different phenological phases. The lower minimum temperatures in 2018 would explain the longer Godello cycle in the Souto Chao vineyard. A study carried out by Queijeiro [18] in the Ribeiro area showed significantly higher values than those observed for Godello in Ribeira Sacra.

The longest cycle length was observed in parallel studies carried out in the same wine region with other red varieties, such as Brancellao and Merenzao, where a vegetative cycle length was reported to be of 200 days [3].

In other Spanish wine regions (Rioja DO), red varieties such as Tempranillo reported a vegetative cycle length very similar to Godello in Ribeira Sacra in 2018, which was an average of 174 days. In the same Rioja DO, minority red varieties such as Maturanatinta, Maturanatinta Navarrete and Monastel de Rioja reported vegetative cycle lengths of 158, 163 and 173 days, respectively [19]. The phenological cycles of some Turkish varieties have been reported to range from 120 to 176 days, with Trakya Ilkeren and Yalova being the earliest and Favli the latest [20].

The present study showed that the year 2023 was the driest as compared to other years of study in Ribeira Sacra and consequently, the beginning of the berries ripe for harvest (Ph 89) was early, which occurred in mid-August 2023.

Regarding thermal heat requirements, the daily sum of maximum temperatures fluctuated from the sprouting phase (St 0: Sprouting) to berry ripening (St 8: Ripening of berries) stage, in values between 1175 GDD and 4933 GDD. In studies conducted in this geographical area, heat accumulation values recorded for the same variety and in the same plot reported similar values for sprouting (St 0: Sprouting), of 1425 and 1549 GDD, in the years 2017 and 2018, respectively. Values for berry ripening (St 8: Ripening of berries) were 5150 GDD in 2017 and 5233 GDD in 2018, values were in line with those obtained in this present year 2023 of study. The thermal requirements needed to induce flowering (St 6: Flowering) were 2881 GDD, also similar to those obtained in previous studies, which ranged from 2923 to 2939 GDD [3].

This suggests that we must consider the date of the beginning of the flowering stage (St 6: Flowering) because it is very important in determining the harvest date, as there is a constant number of accumulated temperature units between these events [19].

Finally, regarding the accumulated thermal units for berry ripening (St 8: Ripening of berries) the values achieved in 2023 were slightly lower than those recorded in 2017 and 2018 in the same plot. In the other viticultural regions also for the red grape cultivars

Comment [I18]: Discuss the results accordingly

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

such as Sangiovese and Cabernet Franc, the heat requirements of these varieties during the period between budbreak and flowering varied between 96 and 271 GDD, with the Cabernet Franc variety requiring the highest number of days and the highest sum of heat during the period [21]. These values were very distant from those achieved in the Souto Chao plot for Godello. Therefore, the knowledge of the different phenological stages of the vineyard and their identification is very important for cultural practices and the use of phytosanitary products to control pathogens [2, 22].

Jones and Davis [23] also pointed out that vine development occurs as a direct effect of climate and can be described by phenological events, and stated that the phenology of a crop is important in determining the ability of an area or region to produce crops within the scheme of its climatic regime. The phenological data obtained through a descriptive analysis of the means and standard deviations for the different stages of the vine, from Sprouting (St 0) to Ripening of fruits (St 8), show different values from those obtained in other similar studies for varieties such as Perlon, Red Globe, A. Lavalleé, M. Palieri, Sultanina, etc., but the highest standard deviation was found to be for the variety Perlon. However, the highest standard deviation also coincides with our study in terms of the stages of Flowering (St 6) and Ripening of fruits (St 8) [24].

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

5. Conclusions

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

It can be concluded that the active vegetative cycle of the vine was generally longer in the year 2018. However, the significant differences were observed between the beginning and end of the different phenological phases for the three years. Both in 2017 and in the present year of study i.e., 2023, the beginning and the end of the vegetative cycle took place between March and August, while in 2018 it was from April to September. The phenological behaviour of the Godello variety is linked to climatic conditions, with temperature being the main meteorological parameter explaining the inter-annual differences in the vineyard, highlighting the advance recorded in most of the phenological phases in 2017 and 2023. The shortest phenological phase was Flowering (St 6) stage, while the longest was Fruit Development (St 7) stage. The period of low temperatures was necessary to overcome the cooling period in the vineyard studied, which ended in the second half of December month. The thermal requirements to complete berry ripening were around 5,000 heat units (GDD) in the year 2023, which was slightly lower than those required in the year 2017 and 2018. The main conclusion of this study is that high temperatures and drought have reduced the period for the grape harvest in the context of climate change. This is therefore also affecting the other crops and could worsen in the coming years, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

References

1. Verdugo-Vásquez, N., Acevedo-Opazo, C., Valdés-Gómez, H., Araya-Alman, M., Ingram, B., García de Cortázar-Atauri, I., Tisseyre, B., 2016. Spatial variability of phenology in two irrigated grapevine cultivar growing under semi-arid conditions. *Precis. Agric.* 17, 218–245.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-015-9418-5>.

Comment [I19]: Use Vancouver referencing style throughout

2. Cortiñas, J.A., González, E., Fernández, M., Vázquez, R.A., Aira, M.J., 2020. Fungal diseases in two north-west Spain vineyards: relationship with meteorological conditions and predictive aerobiological model. *Agronomy*; 10, 219. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10020219>

3. Cortiñas, J.A., Fernández, M., González, E., Vázquez, R.A., Rodríguez-Rajo, F.J., Aira, M.J., 2020. Phenological behaviour of the autochthonous godello and mencía grapevine varieties in two designation origin areas of the NW Spain. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 265, 109-221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109221>

4. Cortiñas, J.A.; Fernández-González, M.; Vázquez-Ruiz, R.A.; Aira, M.J.; Rodríguez-Rajo, F.J. 2022. The understanding of phytopathogens as a tool in the conservation of heroic viticulture areas. *Aerobiologia*. 38, 177–193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10453-022-09741-y>

5. Sotés, V., 2015. Vulnerability of the vineyard to climate change and adaptation strategies. *Phytoma*. 274, 36–45.

6. Zamora, F., 2015. Preparation and aging of red wine: scientific and practical aspects. AMV editions and Mundi-Prensa. Madrid

7. Martínez, A., Aleixandre Tudó, J.L., Aleixandre Benavent J.L., 2016. Effects of the phenomenon produced by climate change on the quality of wines. *Eno viticulture*. 42, 4–26.

8. Cortiñas, J.A., Fernández-Conde, M.E., 2022. Phenology of the Mencía Variety Cultivated in a Territory Included in the UNESCO World Network of Biosphere Reserves. *Mod Tech Agri Horti Sci*. 2(1):1–6. DOI: 10.53902/MTAHS.2022.02.000503

9. Ferrer, R.M., 2015. Climate change effects on Plasmopara viticola incidence in vineyards. *Environmental biology*. Autonomous University of Barcelona. TFG - ddd.uab.cat.

10. Cortiñas, J.A., Fernández-Conde, M.E., 2021. Sustainable Use of Plant Protection Products in Heroic Viticulture Areas. Reducing Risks to the Environment and Winemaking. *SunText Rev Biotechnol*. 2(2), 125. <https://doi.org/10.51737/2766-5097.2021.025>

11. Martínez de Toda, F., 2011. Key to quality viticulture (2nd Ed.) New techniques for stimulating and controlling the quality of grapes in the vineyard. Mundi-Press. Madrid (Spain) 253.

12. Rodríguez-Gutián, M.A., Ramil-Rego, P., 2007. Climate classifications applied to Galicia: review from a biogeographic perspective. *Rural Resources* 1 (3), 31–53. <http://hdl.handle.net/10347/3805>.

13. Mapama, 2017. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Food and Environment. Registration of commercial varieties. www.mapama.gob.es

14. Lorenz, D.H., Eichorn, K.W., Bleiholder, H., Klose, R., Meier, U., Weber, E., 1994. Phanologische Entwicklungsstadien der Weinrebe (*Vitis vinifera* L. Ssp. *vinifera*). Codierung und Beschreibung nach der weiterten BBCH-Skala. *Vitic. Enol. Sci*. 49, 66–70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.1995.tb00085.x>

15. Galán, C., Cariñanos, P., García-Mozo, H., 2011. Model for forecasting *Olea europaea* L. Airborne pollen in South-West Andalusia. Spain *Int J Biometeorol*. 45, 59–63. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11513048/>

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

16. Patil, S., Pawar, A., Bisarya, D., Kumar, V., Kumar, S., Jadhav, V., 2022. Mulching: A sustainable solution for soil and water conservation. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*. 14(4a), 619-623.
17. Grundy, A. C., Bond, B., 2007. Use of non-living mulches for weed control. *Non-Chemical Weed Management*. 135-153.
18. Queijeiro, J., Blanco, D., Alvarez, C., 2006. Climatic zoning and viticulture in Galicia (NW Spain). In: Van Leeuwen, C., Roby, J.P., Marguerit, E., Fanet, J. (Eds.), *VII Congrès International Des Terroirs Vitivinicoles*; 2-4 July, pp. 34–39. Bordeaux-Montpellier, France.
19. Martínez, J., Rubio, P., Chavarri, J.B., Baroja, E., García-Escudero, E., 2013. Evaluation of Minority Red Grapevine Varieties (*Vitis Vinifera* L.) in the Rioja DOC (Spain) . *XXXVI World Congress of Vine and Wine, 11th General Assembly of the OIV*. <http://hdl.handle.net/10261/147524>.
20. Kose, B., 2014. Phenology and ripening of *Vitis vinifera* L. and *Vitis labrusca* L. varieties in the maritime climate of Samsun in Turkey's Black Sea Region. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 35 (1), 90–102. <https://doi.org/10.21548/35-1-988>
21. Gris, E., Burin, V., Brighenti, E., Vieira, H., Bordignon-Luiz, M., 2010. Phenology and ripening of *Vitis vinifera* L. grape varieties in São Joaquim, southern Brazil: a new South American winegrowing region. *Science. Inv. Agr.* vol. 37, n.2, pp.61-75. ISSN 0718-1620. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-16202010000200007>.
22. Mullins, M.G., Bouquet, A., Williams, L.E., 1992. *Biology of the grapevine*. 239 p. Cambridge University, New York, USA.
23. Jones, G., Davis, R.E., 2000. Climate influences on grapevine phenology, grape composition, and wine production and quality for Bordeaux, France. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* 51(3), 249-261. <https://www.ajevonline.org/content/51/3/249>
24. Piña, S., Bautista, D., 2004. Phenological cycle of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cultivars for table use in tropical conditions. *Bioagro* v.16 n.1. ISSN 1316-3361

Comment [I20]: GENERAL COMMENT
 FONT TYPE: Time newroman
 FONT SIZE: 12
 JUSTIFICATION: Distribute the text evenly