

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_111125
Title of the Manuscript:	A Phishing Detection System Based on Intelligent Deep Learning Techniques
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, its suffice.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Based on the thorough examination of the paper, it is recommended that the paper be accepted for publication. The research contributes significantly to the field of cybersecurity, addressing a pertinent issue with a well-designed and effective deep learning-based solution. The clear presentation of results and future work adds to the paper's overall value. Acceptance for publication will allow the broader academic and industry communities to benefit from the insights provided by this research.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Expand the literature review section by incorporating a more comprehensive analysis of related works in the field of phishing detection. Highlight the strengths and weaknesses of existing approaches and clarify how the proposed system contributes to the existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>Provide more details on the proposed future work. Specify the anticipated challenges, methodologies for addressing them, and the expected outcomes.</p> <p>By incorporating these suggestions, the paper can potentially become more informative, robust, and accessible to a wider audience.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alex Mathew
Department, University & Country	Bethany College, USA