

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111321
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultural and morphological variability among isolates of Myrothecium roridum causing leaf spot of bael.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the concept of the work is important for scientific community. It needs major corrections.</p> <p>The scientific name of the crop should be included in the title.</p> <p>No</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p> <p>References are not sufficient. Moreover, citations are incorrect in the entire text. The author has not considered the reference format of the journal as well.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is upto the mark for scientific publication. Many of the text are not clear on what the author is trying to explain in the introduction.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Scientific description of fungus is acceptable. The author is concluding that there is variability in the conidial morphology. However, microphotograph of conidia which should show the photographic evidence showing the difference in conidial morphology of the entire research is not available in the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bandana Saikia
Department, University & Country	Daffodil College of Horticulture, Assam Science and Technology University, India