

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110873
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the prevalence of Sclerotium wilt of brinjal in northern Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The study is interesting and worthy of investigation. However, there are major flaws that require addressing before the manuscript may be considered for publication. Please ensure the authors have rectified all the suggested comments to improve the quality of their work and maintain the standard of the journal as well.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Some references are old and incomplete. Authors should kindly check the manuscript file for suggestions and improvement</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The manuscript requires serious language editing to improve on flow and grammatical errors. I suggest authors employ a grammar checker tool such as Grammarly to correct all the grammatical errors present.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- The manuscript is interesting but full of errors and words inappropriateness. A huge work through massive revisions is required to improve the quality of the paper.</p> <p>- The authors should kindly refer to the comment section and check the highlighted portions in the WORD file for additional suggestions and rectify them accordingly to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Adusei
Department, University & Country	Ghana