

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_109538
Title of the Manuscript:	Shaheed procedure: An Innovative Technique in the Management of Chronic Pancreatitis Calculous.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Surgical management serves as last resort for pain management in chronic pancreatitis. Several drainage and resection procedures are employed traditionally. Extensive anatomical alteration is one of the concerns. The Shaheed procedure could potentially address this concern associated with traditional approaches</p> <p>2. Title is appropriate</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive. Results need improvement</p> <p>4. Variables of interest and technique elaborated comprehensively. Randomization would have improved the quality of the study. Data analysis section is missing. Vital details in the result section are missing. e.g. what was the pain score before procedure, pain score after procedure. How much reduction in pain score was called improvement?</p> <p>5. Yes the study is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Sufficient number of references have been mentioned, however, majority of the references are more than 20 years old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Fix grammatical mistakes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Kashif
Department, University & Country	Lady Reading Hospital, Pakistan