

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_111213
Title of the Manuscript:	Conceptualisation of Socio-Educational Participation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Q1. The importance of a manuscript for the scientific community depends on several factors, including the quality of the research, the significance of the findings, and the relevance to the existing body of knowledge within the field. In the case of a manuscript on the conceptualization of socio-educational participation, its importance can be evaluated in the following ways:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Advancing Knowledge: If the manuscript presents a novel conceptual framework or theoretical model for understanding socio-educational participation, it can contribute to advancing knowledge within the field. It can provide researchers and practitioners with new insights and perspectives on the topic. Filling Research Gaps: If the manuscript addresses gaps in the existing literature, such as by exploring under-researched aspects of socio-educational participation or proposing new dimensions or factors that influence participation, it can be valuable to the scientific community. Filling these gaps can stimulate further research and enhance the understanding of the phenomenon. Practical Implications: Manuscripts that offer practical implications or recommendations based on the conceptualization of socio-educational participation can be beneficial to practitioners, policymakers, and educators. If the manuscript provides insights that can inform interventions, programs, or policies aimed at promoting and enhancing socio-educational participation, it can have a direct impact on educational practices and outcomes. Methodological Contributions: Manuscripts that introduce innovative research methodologies, measurement tools, or data analysis techniques relevant to the study of socio-educational participation can be valuable to the scientific community. Such contributions can enhance the rigor and validity of future research in the field. Influence and Citations: The impact and importance of a manuscript can also be reflected in its citation rate and influence on subsequent research. If the manuscript generates interest, sparks debate, and attracts citations from other researchers, it indicates its significance and contribution to the scientific community. <p>Q2. Yes Q3. Yes Q4. Yes Q5. Yes Q6. Yes, the references in the manuscript are recent and sufficient.</p> <p>Additional comment here. 1. There is no statement of program in this manuscript. The author should add one paragraph of statement of problem.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> There is no recommendation on this manuscript so that, The author should add Recommendations: It is often appropriate to include a section with recommendations based on the findings of the study. These recommendations should be practical and actionable, suggesting strategies to overcome the identified barriers and improve policy implementation. There is no statement of problem on manuscript. So that, The author should add one paragraph statement of problem. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yishak Hanchacha Bore
Department, University & Country	University of South Africa, Ethiopia