

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111006
Title of the Manuscript:	Constraints and Suggestions of Fruit Crop Growers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. This paper discusses the challenges and opportunities in fruit crop cultivation.</p> <p>2. Suggested title: Entrepreneurial Behaviour of Fruit Crop Growers in Vijayapura District of Karnataka</p> <p>3. The abstract could be enhanced by including more specific numerical results or percentages to highlight the magnitude of certain findings. Additionally, mentioning the sample size and geographic scope in the abstract would further clarify the study's context.</p> <p>4. Yes it is</p> <p>5. The use of statistical tools, random sampling, and personal interviews adds credibility to the research.</p> <p>6. Add some recent studies, especially those published in the last few years (2019-2023). In-text citation is required in introduction section (2nd-5th paragraph)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>Sentence Structure: Some sentences are lengthy and could be divided for better readability. Consider breaking them down to improve flow.</i></p> <p><i>Specificity: In certain instances, adding more specific details or quantifying information could strengthen the manuscript. For example, providing specific percentages or numerical values in the abstract and results section.</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saban Kumar K.C.
Department, University & Country	Kathford Int'l College of Engineering and Management, Tribhuvan University, Nepal