

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_110903 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Efficacy of Fungicides and Optimization of Application Timing for the Management of Sclerotinia Rot of Mustard Caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1):- Yes, this manuscript is considered very important to the scientific community as it deals with the study of the optimal use of fungicides, considering that they are among the important causes of plant diseases. In addition, it also addresses the study of this application in terms of effectiveness and the optimal time for managing mustard rot disease.</p> <p>2):- Yes, the title of paper is very suitable.</p> <p>3):- Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and includes all the action points.</p> <p>4):- Yes, the paper was divided into subsections that address all parts of the study.</p> <p>5):- Yes, the manuscript is universally correct, as all methodological tools were respected to complete this study.</p> <p>6):- Yes, the references are sufficient, but I also hope that the references will be strengthened with a large number in 2023 year.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes, it is suitable for academic communication, with minor language revision | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The manuscript has been revised (parts shaded in yellow) for saving time | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Almoatzebella Ali El-Mouhamady |
| Department, University & Country | Biotechnology Research Institute, Egypt |