

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110165
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of inorganic fertilizers organic manure and biofertilizer on soil health and yield attributes of chick pea (Cicer aretinum L.) Cv. Aruna
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- The manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses a pertinent issue in biofertilizers, specifically the integration of chemical and bio-organic fertilizers and their effects on crops in the context of agronomy and crop science. The research contributes valuable insights to the optimization of fertilizer dosages for chickpea crops, aiming to achieve higher yields. This work has the potential to influence future studies and applications in sustainable agriculture. The clarity of presentation enhances its accessibility to a broad audience, making it a valuable addition to the existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>2- I suggest this title: "Response of inorganic fertilizers organic manure and biofertilizer on soil fertility and yield of chick pea (Cicer aretinum L.) Cv. Aruna"</p> <p>3- The abstract needs a complete rewrite to align with scientific standards. The revised version should succinctly introduce the research problem, concisely specify the objectives and goals of the research, elaborate on the treatment of the experiment, detail the experimental design, and emphasize the most crucial result</p> <p>4- The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, and the document contains a substantial amount of data.</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically accurate.</p> <p>6- The reference is sufficient and recent</p> <p>7- Please provide additional explanation for (Tab 5), particularly regarding the grain yield. Include a statistical analysis to demonstrate that the differences between the treatments are statistically significant</p> <p>8- Please restate the conclusion to place greater emphasis on the results of your experience</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, the manuscript has been written in professional academic language, making it highly scientifically understandable, although it needs a little adjustment 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check the number of tablets it listed incorrect and you miss tables that 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rami Kaba
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat University, Syria, Fanshawe College, Canada