

## Original Research Article

### **Response of inorganic fertilizers organic manure and biofertilizer on soil health and yield attributes of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) Cv. Aruna**

#### **ABSTRACT**

An experimental trial carried out on topic “Response of inorganic fertilizer organic manure and biofertilizer on soil health and yield attributes of chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) cv. Aruna” for the two repeated year 2021-22, start from *rabi* seasons at research farm of soil science and agricultural chemistry prayagraj. The geographical co-ordinates of the university campus are approximately 25.4769° N latitude and 81.8574° E longitude and 98 meters (322 feet) the area of prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the south east of uttarpradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46°C - 48°C and minimum temperature is 4°C - 5°C. The relative humidity ranged between 20 to 94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1100mm annually. the designed lay out 48 total soil was sandy loam and samples were taken from different depths 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm the conjunctive use of N, P, & K and different vermicompost and rhizobium the treatment T<sub>16</sub>[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %] plant height (cm), number of branches, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod plant<sup>-1</sup>, grain yield, seed weight, gave best results. The maximum B:C ratio was achieved in treatment T<sub>16</sub> [RDF @ 100% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %], *i.e.* 1.95 and 2.18, for chick pea cv. aruna during respectively years and was found at par than any other treatment.

**Key words:** organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium parameters and yield, etc.

#### **Introduction**

Pulses are valuable source of dietary protein and have a specific ability to sustain and preserve soil fertility by adding biological nitrogen fixation. Pulses are restorative crops and leaves quite enough as 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen in soil (**Choudhury et al., 2004**). among the pulses, chickpea is an important *rabi* season crop with high acceptability and wider use in nutritional food basket. the essential components of balanced nutritional food are protein, fat, fibre and mineral nutrients (**Reddy and Reddy, 2010**).

Chickpea is a valued crop and provides nutritious food for increasing world population and will become important with climate change (**Muehlbauer and Sarker,**

**2017**).It is one of the most important *rabi* season crops grown in india and as whole in asia for economic importance and maintaining soil fertility. chickpea is commonly known as gram or bengal gram belongs to fabaceae family. Being a rich and cheap source of protein, it can help people to improve the nutritional quality of their diet (**Singh et al., 2021**).it is grown and consumed in large quantities in south east asia to india and in the middle east and mediterranean countries(**FAO, 2021**). chickpea is the third most important pulse crop in the world after french and field pea. India has first position in area and production in the world (**Singh et al., 2014**). Dried seeds of gram have a high nutritional value. Its dried seed contain about 7% moisture, 22.19% protein, 64.90% carbohydrate, 2.10% fat, 3.20% mineral ash, 45 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> Ca, 2.8 mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> Fe and high calorific value 370 Kcal. 100 g<sup>-1</sup>(**Singh and Garg 2012**).it is also important for sustainable agriculture as it improves the physio-chemical and biological properties of the soil. Its deep roots also open the soil, which ensure better aeration and heavy leaf drop increases the organic matter in the soil. it can fix about 25-30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> through symbiosis and these minimize dependency on chemical fertilizers. Thus, chickpea plays a vital role in improving the soil health (**DES, 2018**),the top producers of chickpeas in the world are India, Myanmar, Turkey, Iran and Pakistan. the global production in 2020 was around 13.1 million tonnes. India was the largest producer, accounting for around 67% of the world's production. Other major producing countries include Turkey, Myanmar, and Australia (**FAO, 2020**). chickpea is largely grown in marginal and sub marginal lands of semi-arid tropics, which are characterized by poor fertility status and moisture stress,with an estimated global production of 162.25 lakh tonnes in 2019, chickpea is grown in about 50 countries around the world covering an area of 149.66 lakh ha with an average global productivity of 1252 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(**Sinha et al., 2020**).India is the leading producer of chickpea contributing to about 70% of the world's chickpea production. In India, Madhya Pradesh (39%), Maharashtra (14%), Rajasthan (14%), Uttar Pradesh (7%), Karnataka (6%) and Gujarat (5%) are the major chickpea growing states. in india pulses are grown nearly in 28.83 m ha with an annual production of 25.72 and productivity of 0.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. In our country, it covered about 10.17million-hectare area, with 11.35 MT production and 1116 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> productivity in 2019-20. During 2020-21, chickpea a had a lion's share of 49.3% in the total pulses production.Madhya Pradesh leading state in area and production of chickpea (**GOI, 2019-20**).

Nitrogen is an essential nutrient for the growth and development of plants, including chickpea crop. It is a component of many important plant molecules, such as amino acids,

proteins, nucleic acids and chlorophyll(Hossain *et al.*, 2013). Nitrogen is required in large quantities by plants and is often the most limiting nutrient for plant growth and crop yield(Mondal *et al.*, 2014).

Phosphorus is an essential macronutrient for plant growth and development and it plays a crucial role in various physiological and metabolic processes of plants. Phosphorus is particularly important for chickpea because it promotes root development, improves seedling growth and enhances the uptake and utilization of other nutrients such as nitrogen and potassium(Garg and Bhandari 2016). Chickpea requires a significant amount of phosphorus during its early growth stages and a deficiency of this nutrient can lead to stunted growth, reduced yields and poor quality of seeds. Studies have shown that phosphorus application can significantly increase the yield of chickpea crops. Phosphorus helps in the uptake of other nutrients such as nitrogen, potassium and calcium by chickpea plants, which leads to better growth and development (Kumar *et al.*, 2018; and Singh *et al.*, 2020). Phosphorus plays a vital role in improving the tolerance of chickpea plants to biotic and abiotic stresses, such as drought, salinity and disease (Hussein *et al.*, 2018; and Niazi *et al.*, 2020).

Potassium is an essential macronutrient that plays a critical role in the growth and development of the chickpea crop and is involved in many physiological and biochemical processes in plants, including osmoregulation, enzyme activation and protein synthesis (Yadav *et al.*, 2011). It is essential for the proper growth and development of chickpea plants. Studies have shown that the application of potassium fertilizers can increase the yield of chickpea crops(Nawar *et al.*, 2020) and plays a crucial role in regulating the opening and closing of stomata, which in turn affects photosynthesis rates. Adequate potassium supply can enhance the efficiency of photosynthesis and increase crop productivity (Wani *et al.*, 2018), helps in maintaining the turgor pressure of plant cells, which is important for plant water balance. Adequate potassium supply can increase water-use efficiency and reduce water stress in chickpea crops (Gupta *et al.*, 2019), plays a vital role in regulating the response of plants to abiotic stress, such as drought, salinity, and high temperatures. Adequate potassium supply can improve the chickpea crop's ability to tolerate and recover from abiotic stress (Rizwan *et al.*, 2020), has been shown to improve the chickpea crop's resistance to pests and diseases, such as Fusarium wilt, root rot and aphids and has been shown to improve the chickpea crop's resistance to pests and diseases, such as Fusarium wilt, root rot and aphids (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2019), it can also improve the quality of chickpea crops, including protein content, oil content and seed size (Gupta *et al.*, 2019).

## Material and Method

The field experiment was carried out at the research farm of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during in *rabi* season 2021-22. The maximum temperature of the location ranges between 46.0-48<sup>0</sup>C and seldom falls below 4<sup>0</sup>C-5<sup>0</sup>C. The relative humidity ranges between 20-94%. The average rainfall of this area is around 1100 mm annually. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with 16 treatments. The treatments have been replicated three times. The different treatments were employed randomly in each replication.

Soil sampling was done with the standard sampling tools from two depths 0-15cm and 15-30 cm. analysis of the soil samples was under the methods, the chemical analysis Percent Organic Carbon (%), Available Nitrogen, Available Phosphorus and Available Potassium (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 1. Chemical analysis parameters**

Parameters	Results	Method
Soil pH (1:2.5) (w/v)	7.40	(Jackson, 1958)
Soil EC (1:2.5) (dS m <sup>-1</sup> ) at 25 <sup>0</sup> C	0.31	(Wilcox, 1950)
Percent Organic Carbon (%)	0.34	(Walkley and Black, 1947)
Available Nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	255.23	(Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
Available Phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	22.62	(Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 1954)
Available Potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	200.32	(Toth and Prince, 1949)

## Results and Discussions

As decrypted in table 2., that maximum pH (1:2.5) w/v of soil found in year 2021-22 and at 0-15 cm and 15-30cm depth in T<sub>16</sub> 8.28 and 8.39 and T<sub>16</sub> 8.54 and 8.75 respectively followed in T<sub>15</sub> 8.01 and 8.08 and 8.05 and 8.35 respectively in T<sub>1</sub> minimum were found *i. e.* 7.32 was 7.51 and 7.46 and 7.55 respectively, statistically it was found at par were found non-significant. it was also observed that the pH of soil was gradually increased with increasing the dose of vermicompost and biofertilizer levels, due to presence of

vermicompost levels in optimum amount increases particle density of soil. similar findings given by **Amrita et al. (2010)**.

The maximum electrical conductivity ( $\text{dS m}^{-1}$ ) of soil found in year 2021-22 and at 0-15 cm and 15-30cm depth in  $T_{16}$  0.417  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and 0.420  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and  $T_{16}$  0.420  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  was found at par and 0.422  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  followed in  $T_{15}$  8.01  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and 8.08  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and 8.05 and 8.35  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and in minimum were found  $T_1$  0.341  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  was 0.344  $\text{dS m}^{-1}$  and 0.343 and 0.345 respectively, statistically it were found significant. it was also observed that the EC of soil was gradually increased with increasing the dose of vermicompost and biofertilizer levels, due to presence of vermicompost levels in optimum amount increases EC of soil. similar findings given by **Amrita et al. (2010)**.

The organic carbon content during 2021-22 of two years, the maximum soil organic carbon % were found in treatment  $T_{16}$  containing which were 0.492% and 0.510% and were 0.495% and 0.512% at soil depth 0-15 and in 2021-22 found to be at par than any other treatment, followed in  $T_{15}$  i.e., 0.475% and 0.498% it was 0.476 and 0.499 % in 2021-22 and minimum was found in  $T_1$  which was 0.370% and 0.380 % at 0-15 and 15-30 cm and 0.371% and 0.383 %, at 0-15 and 15-30 cm in 2021-22 soil respectively were found to be significant. Similar result has been recorded by **Braham et al. (2014)**.

The maximum av. nitrogen was recorded in  $T_{16}$  328.03  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 359.63  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively in,  $T_{16}$  328.52  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 360.62  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  in 2022 and were found to be significant, followed by  $T_{15}$  the maximum was recorded in  $T_{15}$  321.74  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 353.49  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively and in the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022,  $T_{15}$  322.72  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 354.30  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  in 2022 and were found to be significant respectively and minimum was recorded in  $T_1$  265.92  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 268.85  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively and at depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022,  $T_1$  266.22  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  and 269.54  $\text{N kg ha}^{-1}$  in 2022 and were found to be significant, the research work was conducted on chickpea during the two years, integral effect of inorganics fertilizers with organic manure like vermicompost found highest av, N source and observed under N P K, vermicompost and biofertilizer treated plot, which mineralization effect and be also due to the favourable soil conditions provided by N P K and vermicompost addition might have helped in

mineralization of additional soil N leading to improved soil fertility. Available Nitrogen content found maximum in surface soil while minimum in lower depth due to leaching of nutrients in the lower surface of soil. Similar result has been recorded by **Biswashet *al.* (2014) and Amrita *et al.* (2017).**

The maximum av. phosphorus was recorded in T<sub>16</sub>34.6 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 37.17 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively in, T<sub>16</sub>34.87 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 37.78 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant, followed by T<sub>15</sub> the maximum was recorded in T<sub>15</sub>32.95 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 36.16 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively and in the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022, T<sub>15</sub>33.01 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 36.27 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant respectively and minimum was recorded in T<sub>1</sub>13.98 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 15.12 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 respectively and at depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022, T<sub>1</sub>14.82 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 15.19 P kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant, the research work was conducted on chickpea during the two years, integral effect of inorganics fertilizers with organic manure like vermicompost) found highest av, P source and observed under N P K, vermicompost and biofertilizer treated plot, which mineralization effect and be also due to the favourable soil conditions provided by N P K and vermicompost addition might have helped in mineralization of additional soil P leading to improved soil fertility. Available Phosphorus content found maximum in surface soil while minimum in lower depth due to leaching of nutrients in the lower surface of soil. Similar result has been recorded by **Biswashet *al.* (2014) and Amrita *et al.* (2017).**

The maximum av. potassium was recorded in T<sub>16</sub>196.67 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 207.22 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 in, T<sub>16</sub>196.81 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 207.97 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant, followed by T<sub>15</sub> the maximum was recorded in T<sub>15</sub>191.64 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 204.37 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 and in the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022, T<sub>15</sub>191.82 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 205.41 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant respectively and minimum was recorded in T<sub>1</sub>145.82 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 153.15 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at the depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2021 and at depth of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm in 2022, T<sub>1</sub>146.72 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 154.42 K kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2022 and were found to be significant, the research work was conducted on chickpea during the two years, integral effect of inorganics fertilizers with organic manure like vermicompost) found highest av, K source and observed under N P K, vermicompost and biofertilizer treated plot, which

mineralization effect and be also due to the favourable soil conditions provided by N P K and vermicompost addition might have helped in mineralization of additional soil K leading to improved soil fertility. Available potassium content found maximum in surface soil while minimum in lower depth due to leaching of nutrients in the lower surface of soil. Similar result has been recorded by **Biswas *et al.* (2014)** and **Sharma *et al.* (2018)**.

As revealed in table 3. the highest grain yield of 17.1 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 17.56 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in treatment T<sub>16</sub>, [RDF @ 100% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %], in comparison with treatment T<sub>13</sub> consisting of 100% RDF alone, *i.e.*, 16.04 and 6.58 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, over control T<sub>1</sub>, *i.e.*, 10.96 and 11.01 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, treatment T<sub>15</sub>, followed by treatment T<sub>14</sub>, [RDF @ 100% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %], *i.e.*, 16.87 and 16.97 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, in which both are statistically at par with each other and on par, respectively during both the years of experimentation.

Increased grain yield might be due to use of different levels of vermicompost with RDF may be due to synergistic effect of all inputs when combined together. It might be also due to steady release of nutrients throughout the crop growth period coupled with better nutrient assimilation in developing reproductive structures, greater availability of metabolites (photosynthates), have resulted positive in formation of yield components which ultimately improved the yield of the crop. Similar findings are in collaboration with **Kumar *et al.* (2017)**.

### Conclusion

It revealed from the trial that application of NPK, vermicompost and rhizobium Bio-fertilizers in treatment T<sub>16</sub> was found best, since the results is based on one season chemical properties. The T<sub>1</sub> shows the poor chemical condition where NPK, vermicompost and rhizobium bio-fertilizers was applied in least amount. This concludes that use of N P K improved the yield.

### References

**Das, A., David, A.A., Swaroop, N., Thomas, T., Rao, S. and Hasan, A. (2018)** Assessment of Physico-chemical properties of river bank soil of Yamuna in Allahabad city, Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of chemical studies* 6(3): 2412-2417.

- Deshmukh, M.S., Kachave, T.R. and Kanase, P.M. (2020)** Evaluation of macro and micronutrient status of pomegranate orchards from Maharashtra region by soil and leaf analysis, *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **9**(1): 1378- 1382.
- Gajbhiye, K.S., Gaikawad, S. T., Challa, O., Hazare, T. N. and Deshmukh, S. N. (2018)** Evaluation of optimum range of soil moisture stress for establishment of wheat crop in Vertisols. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science* **38** (1): 139–141.
- Hillel, D. (1971)** *Soil and Water - Physical Principles and Process*, Academic Press, Inc. New York.
- Mustafa, A.A., Singh, M., Sahoo, R.N., Ahmed, N., Khanna. M., Sarangi, A. and Mishra, A.K. (2011 a)** *Land suitability analysis for different crops: A multi criteria decision making approach using remote sensing and GIS* <http://www.sciencepub.net/researcher> **3**:61-84.
- Mustafa, A.A., Singh, M., Sahoo, R.N., Ahmed, N., Khanna. M., Sarangi, A. and Mishra, A.K. (2011 b)** Characterization and classification of soils of Kheragaragh, Agra and their productivity potential. *Journal of Water Management* **19**: 1-19.
- Six, J., Elliott, E.T. and Paustian, K. (2000)** Soil structure and soil organic matter: II. A normalised stability index and the effect of mineralogy. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **64**: 1042-1049.
- Sharma, P.K. (2004)** Emerging technologies of remote sensing and GIS for the development of spatial data structure. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **52**: 384-406.
- Ullah,S.,Jan,A.,Ali,M.,Ahmad, A., Ullah, A., Ahmad, G., Afridi, K., Ishaq, M.,Saeed, M.and Riaz, A. (2017)** Effect of Phosphorous and Zinc Under Different Application Methodson YieldAttributes of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*L.). *International Journal of Agriculturaland EnvironmentalResearch*; **3**(1):79-85.
- Valenciano,J.B.,Boto,J.A.and Marcelo,V.(2010)**Responseof chickpea(*Cicerarietinum* L.) yield to zinc, boron and molybdenum application under pot conditions. *SpanishJournalofAgriculturalResearch*; **8**(3):797-807.
- Verma,G.,Yadav,D.D.,Kumar,A., Singh,R., Babu,S.,Avasthe, R.K.,Gudade, B.A.andSharma,V.K.(2020)**ImpactofFertilityLevelsandBiofertilizeronRootArchitecture,YieldandNutrientUptakeofChickpea(*Cicerarietinum*L.)Crop.*Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*, **9**(2):2018-2024.

**Walkley, A. and Black, I. A., (1947)** Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science*. **47**:29-38.

**Wilcox, L. V., (1950)** Electrical conductivity Am. waterwork, Association **42**:775-776.

**Yadav, R., Thomas, T., Swaroop, N. and Kumar, T. (2021)** Response of different levels of phosphorus and zinc in soil health after harvesting chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; **11**(5):402-405.

**Zaki, N. M., Ahmed, A. G., Mohamed, M. H., Tawfik, M. M. and Hassanein M. S. (2013)** Effect of skipping one irrigation and potassium fertilization on growth and yield of chickpea plants. *CAB Abstracts World Applied Sciences Journal*, **27**(5):557-561.

**Table 2. Treatment combination of Chickpea var. Aruna 2021-22**

Treatments	Treatment Combination
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control
T <sub>2</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]
T <sub>3</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]
T <sub>4</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]
T <sub>5</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]
T <sub>6</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]
T <sub>7</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]
T <sub>8</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]
T <sub>9</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]
T <sub>10</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]
T <sub>11</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]
T <sub>12</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]
T <sub>13</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]

---

T <sub>14</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25%]
T <sub>15</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]
T <sub>16</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]

---

**Note:** RDF- Recommend dose of fertilizer, Basal dose of Nitrogen (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Phosphorus (40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), Potassium (20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), vermicompost and biofertilizer was applied at the start of the experiment.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 3. Response of inorganic fertilizer, organic manure and biofertilizer on Chemical analysis parameters**

S. No.	Soil organic carbon (%)			
	2020-21		2021-22	
	0-15 cm	15-30cm	0-15 cm	15-30cm
T <sub>1</sub>	0.370	0.381	0.371	0.383
T <sub>2</sub>	0.378	0.387	0.379	0.390
T <sub>3</sub>	0.382	0.397	0.383	0.398
T <sub>4</sub>	0.39	0.401	0.391	0.402
T <sub>5</sub>	0.397	0.409	0.399	0.41
T <sub>6</sub>	0.41	0.415	0.409	0.416
T <sub>7</sub>	0.415	0.422	0.416	0.423
T <sub>8</sub>	0.42	0.429	0.421	0.43
T <sub>9</sub>	0.424	0.437	0.425	0.438
T <sub>10</sub>	0.431	0.442	0.432	0.443
T <sub>11</sub>	0.438	0.452	0.439	0.453
T <sub>12</sub>	0.445	0.461	0.446	0.462
T <sub>13</sub>	0.457	0.476	0.457	0.477
T <sub>14</sub>	0.467	0.485	0.468	0.485
T <sub>15</sub>	0.475	0.498	0.476	0.499
T <sub>16</sub>	0.492	0.510	0.495	0.512
F- test	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S. Em. (±)	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02
<b>C. D. @ 5 %</b>	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01

**Table 4. Concentration of Available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium with different treatment modalities**

S. No.	Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
	2020-21		2021-22		2020-21		2021-22		2020-21		2021-22	
	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30 cm	0-15 cm	15-30cm	0-15 cm	15-30cm
T <sub>1</sub>	265.92	268.85	266.22	269.54	13.98	15.12	14.82	15.19	145.82	153.15	146.72	154.42
T <sub>2</sub>	267.38	273.85	268.53	273.89	15.79	16.21	15.90	16.26	148.73	158.31	147.63	159.12
T <sub>3</sub>	270.59	279.75	271.83	279.11	16.86	17.32	16.89	17.85	153.75	162.45	153.78	162.75
T <sub>4</sub>	276.95	285.03	275.74	285.38	18.91	19.34	19.69	19.86	155.66	168.16	156.61	168.31
T <sub>5</sub>	280.94	292.79	280.05	292.93	19.81	20.23	20.75	21.20	157.59	171.27	158.72	171.83
T <sub>6</sub>	284.74	298.75	285.60	299.6	21.82	22.32	21.92	22.78	161.85	176.48	162.72	176.78
T <sub>7</sub>	287.49	305.68	287.74	306.44	22.74	23.12	22.81	24.10	165.67	178.36	165.93	178.81
T <sub>8</sub>	291.59	312.04	291.64	312.73	23.70	24.21	23.83	25.21	170.83	182.54	170.95	182.64
T <sub>9</sub>	296.63	318.75	298.64	318.82	25.69	26.23	25.73	26.88	174.66	186.25	174.73	186.29
T <sub>10</sub>	300.5	323.74	301.53	324.47	26.87	28.90	26.92	27.18	177.83	188.33	178.84	190.41
T <sub>11</sub>	305.73	329.64	306.92	330.02	27.80	29.29	27.90	29.32	179.85	192.24	180.76	193.23
T <sub>12</sub>	308.55	332.73	309.89	333.63	27.87	31.20	28.81	31.79	182.74	197.23	182.82	198.14
T <sub>13</sub>	313.74	340.92	314.62	341.82	29.70	33.28	29.78	33.70	186.63	199.53	186.83	200.20
T <sub>14</sub>	318.76	348.53	319.52	348.81	31.86	34.19	32.40	34.77	189.76	201.52	190.75	202.32
T <sub>15</sub>	321.74	353.49	322.72	354.30	32.95	36.16	33.01	36.27	191.64	204.33	191.82	205.41
T <sub>16</sub>	328.03	359.63	328.52	360.62	34.60	37.17	34.87	37.78	196.67	207.22	196.81	207.93
F- test	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
S. Em. (±)	11.01	12.83	12.67	11.98	0.70	0.55	0.56	0.56	7.90	8.67	9.26	8.34
<b>C. D. @ 5 %</b>	5.39	6.28	6.21	5.67	0.34	0.27	0.27	0.27	3.87	4.24	4.55	4.08

Table 5: Effects of Inorganic fertilizers Organic manure and Biofertilizer on Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of chickpea at Harvest

S.No.	Treatment	2020	2021
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute control	10.96	11.01
T <sub>2</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]	11.68	11.75
T <sub>3</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]	11.99	12.08
T <sub>4</sub>	[RDF @ 0% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]	12.28	12.49
T <sub>5</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]	12.87	12.92
T <sub>6</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]	13.04	13.27
T <sub>7</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]	13.5	13.73
T <sub>8</sub>	[RDF @ 25% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]	14.02	14.25
T <sub>9</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]	14.53	14.67
T <sub>10</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25 %]	15.07	15.19
T <sub>11</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]	15.23	15.97

T <sub>12</sub>	[RDF @ 50% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]	15.67	16.10
T <sub>13</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 0% + Rhizobium @ 0 %]	16.04	16.58
T <sub>14</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 25% + Rhizobium @ 25%]	16.02	16.80
T <sub>15</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 50% + Rhizobium @ 50 %]	16.87	16.97
T <sub>16</sub>	[RDF @ 100% + VC @ 100% + Rhizobium @ 100 %]	17.01	17.56

