

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_111145
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of quality of life among fertile and infertile women in a developing country
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This study is an important . Though in general we know about the poor quality of life in women living with infertility,there are few studied which have assesed the problem on a scientific basis. This study has evaluated the problem using WHO-designed instrument with a control group of women who are proven to be fertile. It appears to be an important study. Though many papers have been published on the clinical aspect of infertility,the number of papers published on the pycho-social aspects of the infertile patients are not many.Morever this is a well-designed and well-executed study. I strongly recommend its publication in this journal.</p> <p>Prof T A Chowdhury</p> <p>1. Title of the article,abstract & write-up is acceptable 2. Study will be impotent for the scientific community 3. References are correctly cited and relevant</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The write-up appears a bit too long.The author/s may try to shorten it a little without compromising the quality	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T A Chowdhury
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Institute for Research in Diabetes, Metabolic & Endocrine Diseases, Bangladesh