

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110962
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPLICATION OF PLEA BARGAIN UNDER ACJA, 2015 IN NIGERIA'S ANTI-CORRUPTION CRUSADE: LESSONS FROM KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this article can be scientifically useful and answer the questions of some researchers in the field of law and economics in the future. The article addresses a pertinent issue in the Nigerian Criminal Justice System, namely the use of plea bargain in corruption cases. By focusing on this topic, the article contributes to the existing body of knowledge on combating corruption.</p> <p>2- Yes. Title is acceptable.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract is well written and acceptable.</p> <p>4- Yes, the text is integrated and segmented correctly.</p> <p>5- Yes, the article seems to be scientifically acceptable.</p> <p>6- References need correction. References should be set according to the journal format.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, the level of grammar and vocabulary is acceptable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohsen Hajian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Payame Noor University, Iran</b>