

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_110962
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE IMPLICATION OF PLEA BARGAIN UNDER ACJA, 2015 IN NIGERIA'S ANTI-CORRUPTION CRUSADE: LESSONS FROM KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. May suggest using software (Nvivo, RapidMiner) in analyzing the data gathered or with the complimentary analysis</p> <p>2. Yes, appropriate</p> <p>3. Kindly include in the introduction the total number of plea bargain issues and the preview of the findings</p> <p>4. The presentation of the methods should be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists.</p> <p>5. possibly, only if using software in analysis</p> <p>6. yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Report the most important findings, including results of the complementary analyses as appropriate and to other research results. This is where the author(s) should explain in words what he/she/they discovered in the research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lourdes M. Padirayon
Department, University & Country	College of Information and Computing Sciences, Cagayan State University, Philippines