

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_111088
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Wireless Charging Unit for Pacemaker Based on Vital Data Analysis Using IOT
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The research has potential significance in the field of medical technology and IoT applications. The title "Implementation of Wireless Charging Unit for Pacemakers Based on Vital Data Analysis using IoT" is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>In the abstract, the author provides a good overview of the paper, the aim of the project is clearly written, motivation, problem statement and other factors like mentioning about the approach of the project "machine learning". However, there are a few minor grammatical adjustments that could be made to enhance clarity. Overall, the abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the paper's objectives and key elements.</p> <p>Subtopics are structure well in term of explain the project, however, I think the introduction is short. On the other hand, I believe the author did a great job, the manuscript seems correct to me. However, I suggest the author to add the circuit topology of the pacemaker he used in the paper. Finally, Fig 1, 2, 3 are not clear enough, you should use your own figures or cite the references of these figures.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are a few minor grammatical adjustments that could be made to enhance clarity, I advise the author to recheck the grammar well.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmed Mohammed Salem Al-Hattami
Department, University & Country	UTeM, Malaysia