

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_109944
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Effectiveness of Adductor Pull Back Exercise and Gluteus Maximus Activation Exercise on Pain and Functional Ability among Subjects with Anterior Rotated Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Include few sentence of analysis in abstract</p> <p>2. Introduction seems like as literature put your own paragraph regarding what you want to do and intro about both variables.</p> <p>3. In method the author mentioned 8 weeks exercise without any reference of the training duration (why you chose 8 weeks)</p> <p>4. Include training protocol/plan with training pyramid</p> <p>5. Include in few sentence physiotherapy treatment procedure/note</p> <p>6. Mostly in paper the author concentrated about strengthen tactics but refused conditioning treatment?</p> <p>7. In discussion part compare your analysis/result with other related study</p> <p>8. References according to journal policy.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Junaid Shah
Department, University & Country	Pakistan