

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_110001
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Seloko Cultural Values Marriage Traditions of the Community of Rantau Panjang Village, Merangin, Jambi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community especially to support the sustainable development</p> <p>The title already conveys the objective/purpose and methods but it hasn't yet describe or explain the significant of the paper. There is another alternative title such as:</p> <p>Analysis of Seloko Cultural Value from the Marriage Tradition of the People to preserve local culture of Rantau Panjang Village, Merangin, Jambi</p> <p>The abstract should be written as a continuous paragraph in within those abstract must include around 200-250 words, while in your paper only have 177 words. Please revise these corrections. As well as mention of recapitulation state listed bellow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The background of the research • Purpose • Methodologies • Principal results • Major conclusions <p>Note this: The references above 10 years, must be replaced under 10 years. e.a Bungin, B. (2003). <i>Qualitative Research Data Analysis</i>". Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada Andiopenta. (2005). <i>Utilization of Jambi Malay Traditional Seloko Cultural Values as a Local Content Curriculum in High Schools in Jambi Province</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>This article isn't suitable YET for scholarly communication due to some of the language/English Grammar of this article needs correction. The use of some grammar needs to be more specific, accurate and makes sense for the reader to understand. From what I read, the sentences you use in this article are repeated and has the same meaning from the previous or the next sentence. For example, here are the grammar that needs to be corrected: Sub-subject :Data Analysis Technique: Repeated use of "Data Analysis Techniques" in one paragraph. Sub-subject :Responsibility and honesty: The translation of the quotes from the traditional language to English needs more accurate translation from word-by-word and sentences-by-sentences.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yohanes Sulistyadi
Department, University & Country	Polytechnocs Sahid Jakarta, Indonesia