

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_110001
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Seloko Cultural Values Marriage Traditions of the Community of Rantau Panjang Village, Merangin, Jambi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community due to its concern on exposing the hidden cultural values in Jambi's traditional wedding ceremony.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable. However, it is better to omit the word 'analysis', and write using correct English grammar. A suitable title would be "Seloko Cultural Values in Rantau Panjang Village, Merangin, Jambi's Marriage Traditions".</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and well written.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Nevertheless, author is advised to be consistent in using italics for each foreign words outside English language.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is not scientifically correct due to the absence of clear theoretical and conceptual framework. Consider revising.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient, yet not very recent. Therefore, author is advised to use sources published in the last five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The English language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. However, author is suggested to recheck for few grammatical errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bima Prana Chitra
Department, University & Country	Harapan Medan University, Indonesia