

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_110627
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPULSIVITY, AGGRESSION AND SUICIDAL IDEATION AMONG YOUNG ADULTS WITH THE HISTORY OF RELATIONSHIP BREAKUP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript addresses a significant topic, but the importance could be heightened by emphasizing the potential implications of the findings for mental health interventions and support strategies for young adults. 2. The title is informative but could be enhanced for clarity and precision. Consider revising to explicitly convey the focus, such as "Impact of Relationship Breakups on Young Adults: Impulsivity, Aggression, and Suicidal Ideation." 3. While the abstract provides a good summary, consider adding a brief section on the practical implications of the study's findings to make it more comprehensive and attractive to a wider audience. 4. The structure is generally sound. However, consider adding a subsection in the introduction dedicated to the rationale for the chosen sample size and a brief discussion on its adequacy. 5. The scientific rigor is commendable. However, it would strengthen the manuscript to provide a more detailed justification for the chosen sample size, including a power analysis. This would enhance the study's credibility. 6. While the references cover relevant literature, there's room for improvement by focusing more on recent works. Consider incorporating recent studies to strengthen the literature review and demonstrate an up-to-date understanding of the field. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is generally clear, but there are minor grammatical errors and awkward phrasings. A thorough proofreading for language improvement is recommended.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The sample size is mentioned, but it would be beneficial to include a brief discussion on why this sample size was deemed appropriate. Additionally, provide mathematical support or a reference for the statistical methods used to enhance transparency and replicability. • The literature survey could benefit from a more focused approach on recent works to demonstrate the study's contribution to the current state of research. Consider highlighting the gaps in recent literature that your study addresses. • In the discussion, elaborate on the practical applications of the findings. How can mental health practitioners and policymakers use this information to develop effective intervention strategies for young adults experiencing relationship breakups? • Consider adding a limitations section to acknowledge any constraints in the study, such as potential biases or external factors that might impact the generalizability of the findings. • Overall, the manuscript has potential, and addressing these points will significantly improve the quality and impact of the paper. <p>The manuscript addresses a significant topic, but there are notable deficiencies that require serious attention. Areas such as improving the clarity of the title, providing more robust mathematical support for the chosen sample size, and focusing the literature review on recent works need substantial revisions. These changes are crucial to elevate the manuscript's overall quality and impact.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohini Kancharapu
Department, University & Country	Gayatri Vidya Parishad College of Engineering for Women, India