

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_110808
Title of the Manuscript:	BIODIESEL OIL EXTRACTION TECHNOLOGIES: STATE OF THE ART REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it discusses the production of biofuels, which contributes to the reduction of emissions caused by fossil fuels.</li> <li>The title of the article is not appropriate, and I suggest changing it to 'A Comprehensive Analysis of Oil Extraction Technologies for Optimized Biodiesel Production.'</li> <li>The abstract is not clear; there is a need to include the subject under consideration. It is not apparent whether the focus is on optimizing biodiesel production or discussing oil extraction methods from various seeds?</li> <li>The structure of the article is not suitable for the manuscript. I suggest starting by discussing oil extraction methods and then proceeding to talk about biodiesel production.</li> <li>The article is scientifically accurate. To improve the manuscript, it is necessary to include in the introduction what is known about the topic and what is not known.</li> <li>The references are not sufficient and recent, there are recently published articles on the topic that need to be cited</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>English editing require.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neuana Fernando Neuana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Brazil</b>