

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_111085</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS AND ONLINE INITIATIVES IN ADDRESSING AND CREATING AWARENESS ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IN THE YOUTH AND ADULT POPULATION</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Cyberspace has opportunities and challenges that can affect human mental health. Summarizing these concepts in one article can be useful.</b></li> <li>2. <b>No</b></li> <li>3. <b>The end of the abstract section should be summarized.</b></li> <li>4. <b>No, the explanation is given below.</b></li> <li>5. <b>Yes, but not enough.</b></li> <li>6. <b>At least 60% of the references should be related to the last 4 years. Check out the references according to the writing guide for this journal.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>I recommend that a professional editor edit it.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Thank you very much for the opportunity to review this manuscript entitled "The Role of Social Media Platforms and Online Initiatives in Addressing and Creating Awareness About Mental Health Problems in the Youth and Adult Population" which was submitted for the International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal.</p> <p>In general, I think that this may be an interesting paper. However, some major concerns should be clarified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The quality of the study presented in the manuscript is very poor.</li> <li>- The manuscript contents do not have the necessary coherence.</li> <li>- Youth is used in the title, but adolescents are used in the text. Please pay attention to the words.</li> <li>- Use words with less overlap in the abstract.</li> <li>- The opportunities and challenges of cyberspace have not been properly presented.</li> <li>- Which part of the human psyche is damaged by social networks? It has not been properly raised.</li> <li>- The comparison between men and women in social networks has not been properly raised.</li> <li>- In a separate part, please explain the virtual personalities of people.</li> <li>- It is also necessary to explain cyberspace addiction.</li> <li>- It should also be explained about the impact of cyberspace on committing crimes.</li> <li>- Explain adolescence and its role in mental health.</li> <li>- Please explain: What is telehealth? and how telehealth improves mental health.</li> <li>- At the end, you should provide practical suggestions.</li> </ul> <p>Other items to address:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Each paragraph should contain about 200 words (please check).</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ebrahim Rahbar Karbasdehi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Tehran, Iran</b>