

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_108758</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Essentiality of micronutrients in soil -A Review</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, it discusses the importance of micronutrients in crop production and their signs of deficiencies.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections and structure are appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are recent, but concentrated on just few journals from the same author making the manuscript like a copy work from a few authors instead of a review that should have brought in a diverse view of many authors on the subject under review.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The references are not properly compiled according to the journal standard, it needs to be recompiled.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dodo Juliet Dingsen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Jos, Nigeria</b>