

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_111162
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating Unnatural Deaths Linked to Sexual Assault; A Forensic Analysis
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, I believe that the article is important for the scientific community. It is essential for countries like Bangladesh to rise awareness, and it is even better when this is supported by scientific research.</p> <p>The part of the title 'a forensic analysis' remains somewhat vague. Maybe this would fit better: 'Investigating Unnatural Deaths Linked to Sexual Assault; Forensic Analysis of case studies from Bangladesh'.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive enough, the results part can be shortened a bit.</p> <p>Yes, they are good structured.</p> <p>Yes, it is correct.</p> <p>I believe they are adequate enough.</p> <p>I added it as comment in the manuscript, but I would like to specify it again. The Material is not very well explained, it remains a bit confusing between Methods & Materials and discussion. It would be better to give a general biography of the victims, this can be organised in a table and without revealing the identity of the victims. This table can involve Individual number – gender – age – cause of death – location – rapist. This will make the article clearer for the reader.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is clear. I made a few small changes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Arrange the tables in a better way. Table 1 is explanatory to the material, so move it to the Methodology and Materials section. Change the format of Figure 2, give it in table form, or incorporate that info into table 1. Or if you make the general table I suggested there will be no need for Figure 1 as it is.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Donald Kale
Department, University & Country	Anadolu University, Türkiye