

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_SARJNP_110724</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PHYTOCHEMICAL, ANTI-MICROBIAL AND CHROMATOGRAPHIC STUDIES OF THE POLAR EXTRACTS OF THE ACTIVE COMPONENTS OF THE ROOT BARK OF <i>Acacia ataxacantha</i></b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p><b>In sub title many mistakes are found. The authors are not taking care more on the contents of the subtitles.</b></p> <p>Defatted – authors mentioned in abstract... it is not suitable word to use while preparing the extracts.</p> <p>Authors must justify the reason for selecting ciprofloxacin as drug control and also should provide ABST images.</p> <p>Recent references are missing . <b>Eg. Arabian Journal of Chemistry Volume 15, Issue 12, December 2022, 104345</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>In material and methods the authors mentioned the media used. But all are mistakes for example <b>3.1.2. Chocolate Agar (CA) is heading .. but in the paragraph it is mentioned as Nutrient agar powder ... how come like this ?????</b></p> <p><b>3.1.1 Nutrient Agar is heading but the authors mentioned in the paper</b> To prepare nutrient agar, 38 g of Mueller Hinton agar was weighed.. like this ????</p> <p>That shows they are checked the manuscript in well manner? In the result and discussion section, the author should focus on discussing the results obtained from the antibacterial analysis, including how the extracts inhibits the bacteria and the underlying mechanism. IMAGES are missing in the manuscript In articles in many places the font size and are not equal and common.</p> <p>Please check : Aba, O. Y., Ezuruike, I.T., Ayo, R.G., Habila, J. D. and Ndukwe, G. I. (2015). Isolation, antibacterial and antifungal Evaluation of <math>\alpha</math> – amyrenol from the root extract of <i>A. ataxacantha</i> D.C. <i>Scholars Academic Journal of Pharmacy</i>. 4(2) 124 – 131.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	<p>The authors do not provide a thorough interpretation of the results</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. Ragunathan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre for Bio Science and Nano Science Research, India</b>