

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_110724
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL, ANTI-MICROBIAL AND CHROMATOGRAPHIC STUDIES OF THE POLAR EXTRACTS OF THE ACTIVE COMPONENTS OF THE ROOT BARK OF <i>Acacia ataxacantha</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The Manuscript is Extremely beneficial for the scientific community. The phytochemical, anti-microbial and chromatographic studies of the polar extracts of the active components of the root bark of <i>acacia ataxacantha</i> is significantly described in the manuscript.</p> <p>The Title can be reframed and more specific highlighting the entire research work in the manuscript.</p> <p>The word limit of the abstract can be rechecked with the author guidelines and as per the journal requirements.</p> <p>Yes, the structure and subsections are appropriate but the subheadings such as Conflict of Interest and Acknowledgements can also be included.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is logically and scientifically structured, however relevant figures of the experiments performed can also be included in the manuscript.</p> <p>The references are very few, some more recent years specific references can also be incorporated.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is reader friendly and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NIL</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhananjay Pandey
Department, University & Country	Govt. Naveen Girls College, India