

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_111214
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender Diversity Perspective as Moderation: Does Profitability and Capital Structure Affect Financial Distress?
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes, the aim of the study is properly designed. Gender diversity is moderating variable, how it is effect on profitability to financial distress and capital structure to financial distress.</p> <p>2) No, the title needs to be modified</p> <p>3) Yes, the abstract is covers aim, methodology, findings and implications.</p> <p>4) Yes, the manuscript follow a proper structure</p> <p>5) yes</p> <p>6) references is good and recent only</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, some grammatical mistakes are there, your content readability score is very low</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) Don't be use library review words, they have separate meanings so better to write a literature review</p> <p>2) Title needs to be modified: The entire financial analysis is analyzed in the Covid period (the market situation is not good) so that situation is also covered in the title.</p> <p>3) 54 companies were selected but didn't mention it's comes under which sector?</p> <p>4) you didn't mention variable information</p> <p>4) The empirical literature review was missed</p> <p>5) Where is a Z score result, and analysis, and how does it impact on outcome?</p> <p>6) Altman score results representing the safe zone, grey zone, and red zone, have you found how many companies come under the red zone?</p> <p>7) How you can calculate financial distress without results Z score?</p> <p>8) Tables 1, 2, and 3 do not give a citation</p> <p>9) From Table 3, what is the difference between model 1 and model 2?</p> <p>10) From Table 3, the variable's names explanation is missed (pr means like)</p> <p>11) The GD formula is wrong; this formula is represented by women on the board of directors.</p> <p>12) Conceptual framework explanation is missed</p> <p>13) Figure 1 & 2 citations missed</p> <p>14) From Tables 1, 2, and 3 do not explain well</p> <p>15) From the abstract: social implication and further research scope were missed.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Durga Prasad
Department, University & Country	Wallaga University, Ethiopia