

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_109341
Title of the Manuscript:	Esthetic Conservative Procedures in dentistry
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It looks like a dental school course. It's should be presented like a scientific paper. Lot of hyphen for the indication/ contra-indication parts. It should be a continual paragraph.</p> <p>Bleaching, Composite restoration and Veneers: All these techniques should be well described (instruction for use) like done in the Fig 4. It's better to do these descriptions in the main text. I suggest to add Before/ After photos. Advantages and disadvantages of every technique should be presented. It could be in form of table.</p> <p>Fig 3: the name of the products should be mentioned. Before/ After figures in this manuscript should be bigger to be more visible. Form of tables' title should be reviewed (sometimes in bold and sometimes not) SOFT TISSUE ESTHETICS this chapter should be rewritten. Almost only tables and no text for description.</p> <p>DSD It's a technique well described in the literature. Thus, it should be described more. Photos should be added.</p> <p>English could be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihssen Abdelmomen
Department, University & Country	Dental University Monastir, Tunisia