

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110750
Title of the Manuscript:	Affirmation of Experimental Results on Groundnut-Based Millet Intercropping System at Farmers Field under Rainfed Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript it is relevant for scientific community as well as for the smallholder farmers to enhance the crop productivity of groundnut and millet, but it should be restructured. *Please refer to the comments of reviewer. The title of the manuscript it is suitable, but the authors need to be more creative. The abstract it is not comprehensive, the authors must be more specific, they should remove, sections such as, aim, methodology as well as results, I think authors can summarize only the obtained results in experimental fields in three consecutive seasons. The structure of the article is appropriate but, the authors should provide more information on the Materials and Methods, such as the software they use for statistical analysis, in the Results and discussion section the authors must present suitable tables for scientific journals as well as graphics of the results generated by the statistical software. Also, the results must be discussed based on the latest research in similar field, *Please bring up more evidence in Discussion section. It followed the structure which includes, Abstract, Material and Methods, Results and Discussion, Conclusion and References but the authors need to bring more information such as field photos or figures, graphics with statistical results as well as more references. The references are very few for the scientific journal. The most recent references regarding similar studies must be added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Please revise the English, thus the manuscript will be comprehensive to the readers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>N/A</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Missels Quécio Carlos Monjane
Department, University & Country	Niigata University, Japan