

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110576
Title of the Manuscript:	INTEGRATED WEED MANAGEMENT IN MINOR MILLETS - A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I think that yes, this manuscript addresses very important concerns in agriculture which are related to climate changing, it is a practical strategie for weed management in millets, which offers valuable insights for enhancing food security and sustainability in farming practices..</p> <p>2. The title is aligning quite well with the content of the paper, focusing on strategies and methods for managing weeds in millets in the context of promoting the cultivation for sustainable agriculture challenges like climate change.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a nice overview of the challenges faced in agriculture due to climate change, the importance of millets for food security, and the specific issues related to weed management in millet cultivation, also it outlines specific methods for effective weed control in minor millets. However, it might benefit from a more concise structure to highlight key points for readers quickly.</p> <p>4. Sorry but no, the subsections and overall structure seem incongruent with the flow of information making it challenging for readers to follow the logical progression of ideas.</p> <p>5. Acceptable.</p> <p>6. That's a very long and extensive list! It's too much for the paper. The references cover various aspects of millet cultivation, including weed management, intercropping, sowing methods, and more, maybe a little too much for the subject.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Actually, I've noticed some issued while reading the paper : the sentences are a little complex, breaking them smaller would enhance readability, the language lacks precision, phrases like "sluggish growth" and "most viable" could be more precisely defined or supported by research data. There are minor grammatical issues like missing articles (a, an, the).</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A reconsideration of the subsections and their alignment with the main objectives could significantly enhance the paper's readability and impact.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bouhallel Sarra</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ecole Normale Supérieure de Kouba, Algeria</b>