

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111258
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal Abundance of Important Pests of Mango and Their Correlation with Weather Parameters
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.The manuscript is interesting for scientific community</p> <p>2.The title of the article is not suitable to the content of article. Suggestion of an alternative: Seasonal appearance of important pest and natural enemies and their correlation with weather parameters at the Mago instructional farm</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article comprehensive to the pest, but the natural enemies is not.</p> <p>4. Yes,The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriated</p> <p>5.The manuscript is relatively scientific correct, but the natural enemies case needs to be supplemented with a scientific name, such as red ants or fire ants(<i>Solenopsis geminata</i> or <i>S. invecta</i>) and the Asian Wever ant (<i>Oecophylla smaragdina</i>)</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language/ English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bui Tuan Viet
Department, University & Country	Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Vietnam