

Compatibility Analysis of Mushroom with Different botanicals in *in vitro* condition

Abstract

White button mushroom [*Agaricus bisporus* (Lange) Imbach] is the most popular cultivated edible mushroom, fetching high price and still dominating in Indian and International market. However, the limiting factor for its successful cultivation is the occurrence of competitor moulds. The present investigations were carried out in the laboratory Department of Plant Pathology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh. In this experiment different botanical (seed extract) viz., *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain), *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf), *Anethum graveolens* (Soa), *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) were evaluated to test their compatibility with *Agaricus bisporus*. The botanicals (seed extract) were evaluated *in vitro* through poison food technique at 10, 20 and 30% concentrations and 24, 48, & 72 hours of incubation. The maximum radial growth of *Agaricus bisporus* was observed in T₀-Control (*Agaricus bisporus*) (16.45mm), (17.12 mm) and (17.22 mm) and minimum in T₁ - *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (1.46mm), (0.83mm) and (0.60mm) at 10, 20 and 30 percent concentration respectively. Maximum percentage of inhibition was observed in T₁ - *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (91.11%), (95.13%) and (96.50%) at 10, 20 and 30 percent concentration respectively.

Keywords: *Agaricus bisporus*, *Anethum graveolens*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Trachyspermum ammi*

INTRODUCTION

The white button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) is very popular throughout the world and is the most important mushroom of commercial significance in Indian (Maheshwari, 2013). Mushrooms, classified in Phylum Basidiomycota, class Agaricomycetes, are revered as the "Flower of God" in India, known by diverse names. Thriving in varied agro-climatic conditions, these saprophytic fungi absorb nutrients from organic matter, flourishing in natural settings like decaying matter, leaves, droppings, and dead wood. (Kumar *et al.*, 2020). A Frenchman achieved the initial success in commercial mushroom production in 1780 by cultivating *A. bisporus* underground in quarries near Paris (Beyer 2003). Significant

Comment [JB1]: Is it *Anethum graveolens* (Soa) or *Anethum graveolens* (Soa)?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [JB2]: Information and explanations regarding this section need to be added. Does this section contain research methods or research results?

Comment [JB3]: Should check the formatting for citation.

advancements in mushroom cultivation occurred in France around 1600 A.C., with the first cultivation of *Agaricus bisporus* on specially prepared agricultural media (Chang and Miles 2004). Compost, essential for growing white button mushrooms, results from breaking down organic waste by tiny organisms. Composting involves these organisms breaking down organic material, making protein, and preparing fibrous materials to hold water. Microbes not only change compost's properties but also limit the growth of other competing microbes. China initiated the first cultivation of mushrooms, and in India, commercial production began in hilly areas like Chail (Himachal Pradesh), Kashmir, and Ooty (Tamil Nadu) (Jain *et. al.*, 2011). In the northern region, commercial cultivation primarily occurs during the winter season (Maheshwari, 2013). India has approximately 198,000 hectares under mushroom cultivation, yielding an annual production of 487,000 metric tons (Anonymous, 2017). Among the total mushroom production in India, white button mushrooms constitute 73% (Sharma *et. al.*, 2017). In the 2021-2022 period, Bihar leads in mushroom production, followed by Maharashtra, Orissa, Haryana, and Uttarakhand, as per the Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority of India (APEDA), 2021. Fungal pathogens such as *Lecanicillium* (*Verticillium*) *fungicola*, *Mycogone perniciosa*, *Cladobotryum* (*Dactylium*) spp. and *Trichoderma* spp. afflict the cultivated mushroom *Agaricus bisporus* (Lange) Imbach, causing its most serious fungal diseases, dry and wet bubble, cobweb disease and green mould, respectively (Potocnik *et. al.*, 2008a, 2008b, 2010a, 2010b). 4 Several diseases of cultivated mushrooms are caused by bacteria and viruses (Grogan, 2008; Geels *et. al.*, 2008). The most common bacterial disease, distributed worldwide, is the bacterial brown blotch caused by *Pseudomonas tolaasii* (Todorovic *et. al.*, 2012). Various botanicals have proved useful source of fungitoxic substances that are rather harmless compared to synthetic chemical fungicides, which often impose undesirable side effects. Several plants have been reported to possess substances, which are toxic to microbial pathogens and serve as protective barrier to infection. Keeping above in view, an effort was made to evaluate the efficacy of leaf extracts of angiospermic plants as compared to control of pathogenic fungi of mushrooms under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigations were carried out in the laboratory, Department of Plant Pathology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh (Year 2022-23) to test the compatibility of different treatments with *Agaricus bisporus* under *in vitro* conditions. In order to find out the compatibility of various plants extracts *viz.*,

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JB4]: Should consistent.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Trachyspermum ammi (Ajwain), *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf), *Anethum graveolens* (Soa), *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) with *Agaricus bisporus* were used. Seeds of all botanicals were collected and washed thoroughly in clean water. Equal amount number of washed seeds were grinded in mortar and pestle by adding same amount of sterilized water (1:1 w/v) and boiled at 80^o C for 10 minutes in hot water bath. The extract was filtered by double layer muslin cloth followed by sterilized Whatman No.1 Filter paper. (Bhaskar *et. al.*, 2023) Aqueous extract of 10, 20, 30 % was prepared according to the treatment by mixing 10, 20 and 30 ml of spices seed extract with 90, 80, 70 ml PDA respectively in separate conical flask. The flasks were thoroughly shaken to ensure an even mix of the extract under aseptic conditions. Twenty ml of sterilized melted PDA was aseptically poured in sterilized Petri dishes and allowed to solidify. After solidification of media 5mm disc of 7 days old subculture of *Agaricus bisporus* were placed in the centre of the Petri plates and one control plate which has only the PDA medium inoculated with culture disc and used as control. Each treatment and control were repeated three times to make three replications. Replicates were maintained for each test and those plates were incubated at 27±1^oC at incubator. The radial growth of mycelium was measured at different intervals of 24, 48, and 72 hrs. The radial growth of mycelium of each plate was measured by taking average of the two diameters taken right angles for each colony. Percent inhibition in growth was calculated in relation to growth in control using the following formula of Vincent (1947).

The experiment was conducted in completely randomized block design (CRD) with three replications in each treatment. The conclusion was arrived at after statistical analysis of the data. The Completely Randomized Design (CRD) method recommended by Goon *et. al.* was used to conduct the statistical analysis of laboratory experiments (1931). The variance ratio test at the 5% level of probability was used to determine the significance of treatment differences. The observation of per cent inhibition of mycelial growth, were transformed in to "Arc sin Transformation" = $\sin^{-1} \sqrt{p/100}$ used for statistical analysis.

$$\text{Mycelial inhibition} = \frac{\text{Radial growth in control} - \text{Radial growth in treatment}}{\text{Radial growth in control}} \times 100$$

Table 1. List of botanicals and their scientific names

S. No.	Common name	Botanical name	Plant part used
1	Ajwain	<i>Trachyspermum ammi</i>	Seed

Comment [JB5]: Should double check about this species name.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [JB6]: You should check again the rules for making the Table.

Formatted: Centered

Comment [JB7]: What's the meaning of "S"?

Comment [JB8]: Common name or Local's name?

Comment [JB9]: Should it be "Botanical name" or "Scientific Names"?

2	Saunf	<i>Foeniculumvulgare</i>	Seed
3	Soa	<i>Anethumgrveolens</i>	Seed
4	Methi	<i>Trigonellafoenumgraecum</i>	Seed

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

***In vitro* effect of botanical (seed extract) on mycelial growth and per-cent inhibition of *Agaricus bisporus* at 10 % concentration at 24hrs, 48hrs and 72hrs.**

Comment [JB10]: percent

Formatted: Font color: Red

As shown in [tableTable-2](#) and depicted in [figFig-1](#) reveals that at 10% concentration, after 24hrs, 48hrs, and 72hrs incubation, the maximum radial growth of *Agaricus bisporus* was observed in T₀- Control (*Agaricus bisporus*) (16.45mm) followed by T₂- *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf) (4.90mm), T₄ - *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) (2.11mm) T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (*Soa*) (1.95mm), and T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (1.46 mm).The treatment T₀- *Agaricus bisporus* (Alone) was significant over all the treatments. The result showed that maximum percentage of inhibition was observed in T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (91.12%) followed by T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (*Soa*) (88.17%),T₄ - *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) (88.15%), T₂.*Foeniculum vulgar* (Saunf) (70.21%) and T₀-Control (*Agaricus bisporus*)Table-3.

***In vitro* effect of botanical (seed extract) on mycelial growth and per-cent inhibition of *Agaricus bisporus* at 20 % concentration at 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs.**

Formatted: Font color: Red

As shown in [tableTable-2](#) and depicted in [figFig-1](#) reveals that at 20% concentration, after 24hrs, 48hrs, and 72hrs incubation, the maximum radial growth of *Agaricus bisporus* was observed in T₀- Control(*Agaricus bisporus*)(Alone) (17.12 mm) followed by T₂. *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf) (3.54 mm), T₄ - *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) (1.17 mm), T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (*Soa*) (0.96 mm), and T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (0.83 mm).The treatment T₀-*Agaricus bisporus* (Alone)was significant over all the treatments. The result showed that maximum percentage of inhibition was observed in T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (96.04%) followed by T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (*Soa*) (95.55%), T₄ - *Trigonella*

foenumgraecum (Methi) (93.63%), T₂- *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf) (80.12%) and T₀- Control (*Agaricus bisporus*)(0.00 %).Table-3.

In vitro effect of botanical (seed extract) on mycelial growth and per-cent inhibition of *Agaricus bisporus* at 30% concentration at 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs.

Formatted: Font color: Red

As shown in table Table-2 and depicted in fig Fig-1 reveals that at 30% concentration, after 24 hrs, 48 hrs and 72 hrs incubation, the maximum radial growth of *Agaricus bisporus* was observed in T₀-Control (*Agaricus bisporus*)(17.22 mm) followed by T₂- *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf) (2.56 mm), T₄ - *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) (0.84 mm), T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (Soa) (00.60 mm), and T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (0.50 mm).The treatment T₀-*Agaricus bisporus* (Alone) was significant over all the treatments. The result showed that maximum percentage of inhibition was observed in T₁ – *Trachyspermum ammi* (Ajwain) (97.10%) followed by T₃- *Anethum grveolens* (Soa) (96.52%),T₄ - *Trigonella foenumgraecum* (Methi) (95.12%), T₂. *Foeniculum vulgare* (Saunf) (85.13%) and T₀- *Agaricus bisporus* (0.00 %).Table-3.

Comment [JB11]: Please be consistent in writing in your information that you showed to readers/researchers.

Similar findings of botanicals, and fungicides on mycelial growth and percent inhibition were reported by Jahan *et. al.* , Singh *et. al.* ,Sailja and Radhika.

Table No: 2 Mycelial growths of *Agaricus bisporus* at 10 %, 20% and 30 % concentration along with 24, 48 and 72 hours of incubation.

Comment [JB12]: Please see the guideline to write your Table.

	Mycelial growth (mm)								
	10 %			20 %			30 %		
	24 Hours	48 Hours	72 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours	72 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours	72 Hours
<i>Agaricusbisporus</i> (Alone)	5.92	10.72	16.45	6.35	10.98	17.12	6.12	10.87	17.22
<i>Trachyspermumammi</i> (Ajwain)	0.7	1.08	1.46	0.49	0.63	0.83	0.32	0.47	0.50
<i>Foeniculumvulgare</i> (Saunf)	1.96	3.38	4.9	1.58	2.48	3.54	1.03	1.72	2.56

<i>Trachyspermum</i> <i>mumammi</i> (Ajwain)	88.18(69.86)	89.93 (71.46)	91.12 (72.63)	92.28 (73.84)	94.26(76.11)	96.04(78.49)	94.77(76.75)	95.40(77.58)	97.10(80.15)
<i>Foeniculum</i> <i>vulgare</i> (Saunf)	66.89(54.84)	68.47 (55.81)	70.21 (56.90)	75.12 (60.05)	77.41 (61.59)	80.12(63.50)	83.17(65.75)	84.18(66.53)	85.13(67.29)
<i>Anethum</i> <i>graveolens</i> (Soa)	84.29(66.62)	85.26 (67.39)	88.17 (68.98)	91.18 (72.69)	93.90(75.66)	95.55(77.79)	94.44(76.34)	95.68(77.96)	96.52(79.21)
<i>Trigonella</i> <i>foenumgraecum</i> (Methi)	84.29(66.62)	85.17 (67.32)	88.15 (69.83)	92.07 (73.61)	92.71(74.31)	93.63(75.35)	93.14(74.78)	94.20(76.04)	95.12(79.21)
C.D	0.35	0.14	0.14	0.54	0.22	0.96	0.71	0.17	0.32




Fig.2. Mycelial growth inhibition of *Agaricus bisporus* at 10 %, 20 % and 30 % concentration along with 24, 48 and 72 hours of incubation

Comment [JB15]: Same as the previous suggestion.



Plate 1. Response of botanicals against *Agaricus bisporus* on mycelial growth

Comment [JB16]: Figure, not Plate.

CONCLUSION

Among the tested botanicals, it was found that all the botanical more or less inhibited the growth of *Agaricus bisporus* as compared to growth in control-T₀ [*Agaricus bisporus* (Alone)]. With the increase in concentration there was increase in the inhibition of mycelial growth of *Agaricus bisporus*. The results revealed that botanical seed extracts were found incompatible with *Agaricus bisporus* under *in vitro* condition.

REFERECES

Comment [JB17]: Must follow the guidelines provided by the journal.

Agricultural and processed food product export development authority of India (APEDA), 2021. (www.apeda.in)

Anonymous. (2017). Horticulture statistics at a glance. National Horticulture Board Database (<http://www.nhb.gov.in>.)

Beyer, D.M. (2003). Basic procedures for *Agaricus* mushroom growing. *Penn State College of Agricultural Sciences Research, Extension, and Resident Education Programs, Pennsylvania, Chester*, (69): 254-259.

Bhaskar, R., Kumar, R., Singh, J., Kumar, R., Chandra, S., Chand, R., & Vimal, S. C. (2023). *In vitro* Evaluation of Botanicals, Bio-agents and Fungicides against *Rhizoctonia solani* Causing Web Blight Disease of Mungbean. *Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change*, 13(11), 3546-3552.

Chang, S.

T. and Miles, P.G. (2004). Mushroom cultivation, nutritional value, medicinal effect and enviro

onmental impact, *CRC Press*, (2):22-25.

Jain, S.K., Mehta, B.K., Sharma, G.P., Doshi, A. and Jain, H.K. (2011). Cultivation of button mushroom and its processing: a techno-economic feasibility. *International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research*, (1):201-207.

Kumar, K., Biswas, S. K., Hussain A., Baboo, D. and Kumar S. (2020). Influence of different casing material on growth parameters and yield of white button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus* (Longe. Imboch). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, (1): 902-905.

Maheshwari, S. (2013). A Guide for White Button Mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) Production. *Open Access Scientific Reports*, (3):2-3.

Potocnik I., Vukojevic, J., Stajic, M., Rekanovic, E., Stepanovic, M., Milijasevic, S. and Todorovic, B. (2010a). Toxicity of biofungicide Timorex 66 EC to *Cladobotryum dendroides* and *Agaricus bisporus*. *Crop Protection*, (29):290-294.

Potocnik I., Vukojevic, J., Stajic, M., Rekanovic, E., Stepanovic, M., Milijasevic, S. and Todorovic, B. (2010a). Toxicity of biofungicide Timorex 66 EC to *Cladobotryum dendroides* and *Agaricus bisporus*. *Crop Protection*, (29):290-294.

Potocnik, I., Vukojevic, J., Stajic, M., Tanovic, B. and Rekanovic, E. (2010b). Sensitivity of *Mycogone perniciosa*, pathogen of culinary medicinal button mushroom *Agaricus bisporus* (J.Lange) Imbach (Agaricomycetidae) to selected fungicides and essential oils. *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms*, (12):91-98.

Potocnik, I., Vukojevic, J., Stajic, M., Tanovic, B. and Todorovic, B. (2008b). Fungicides sensitivity of selected *Verticillium fungicola* isolates from *Agaricus bisporus* farms. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, (60):151-158.

Singh, S., Lal, A.A. and Simon, S. (2017). Efficacy of some botanicals in controlling dry bubble (*Verticillium fungicola*) disease in white button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) cultivation. *An International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences*, (4):2969-2973.

Todorovic, B., Milijasevic-Marcic, S., Potocnik, I., Stepanovic, M., Rekanovic, E., Nikolic-Bujanovic, L.J. and Cekerevac, M. (2012). In

in vitro activity of antimicrobial agents against *Pseudomonas tolaasii*, pathogen of cultivated button mushroom. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B: Pesticides, Food Contaminants and Agricultural Wastes*, (47):175-179.

Comment [JB18]: Needs to be adjusted to the guidelines.

UNDER PEER REVIEW