

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_111222</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Compatibility Analysis of Mushroom with Different botanicals in in vitro condition</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research in "Agricultural Sustainability and Climate Change" topic</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is. Because it can be developed and used by people who cultivate mushrooms.</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title and content of the manuscript do not match. Because there is no <u>analysis</u> that matches the results presented by the author in the manuscript.</b></p> <p>3. <b>The article abstract is not complete because the author has not provided a brief explanation of the results obtained.</b></p> <p>4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not completely appropriate because in the "Results and Discussion" section, the author has not written yet the discussion accurately and clearly.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Overall, it is quite suitable. It's just that information needs to be added in the form of the results of the discussion and analysis carried out by the author.</b></p> <p>6. <b>The references still need to be added and need to be updated. Please look for a 10 year range from this year so that the data is more accurate and valid.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language is good enough. The problem in this draft article are:</p> <p>1. The author should be consistent to used and write the terminology (see at the reviewer comment).</p> <p>2. The author should be more detailed to looked out the formatting from the journal.</p> <p>3. The draft article needs to add an explanation and relationship between the research conducted and one of the problem topics in the IJECC journal.</p> <p>4. The draft article needs to add an explanation and analysis of each result.</p> <p>5. The references still need to be added and need to be updated.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Overall, it was good in explanation, presentation, flow and research activities carried out. It's just that the author needs to be more careful and rewrite several important things that need to be considered in order to complete and maximize each article that has been written.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jessica Elfani Bermuli</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia</b>