

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IAARJ_110297</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>BARYON SYMMETRY AND THE LEAD OF ANTIMATTER OVER MATTER IN A STRESS-FREE UNIVERSE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Yes, a manuscript is crucial for the scientific community. It serves as the primary means by which researchers communicate their findings, methodologies, and conclusions to the broader scientific community. But manuscript should be improved in some direction.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Language and editing of manuscript should be correct.</p> <p>4.1: refer equation correctly.</p> <p>4.2: Grammatical mistakes, empty spaces, full stop, comma should be corrected.</p> <p>4.3: All references should be in single format, must mention the author's name in the references.</p> <p>4.4: Conclusion section should be improves and compares present study with recent available research.</p> <p>4.5: All equations should be typed in mathematical editor tool (eg. Math Type, equation editor), which is available in Microsoft word by default. I have given red color for some of the equations.</p> <p>5. Yes, but need to be improve.</p> <p>6. No, authors can add some important references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor Revisions Required</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I would like to provide some constructive feedback on the manuscript's current state. The manuscript appears to be loosely written, and I would recommend incorporating references to strengthen the scholarly foundation of your work. Adding relevant references will not only enhance the credibility of your study but also provide readers with valuable context and a broader understanding of the subject matter.</p> <p>Additionally, it would be beneficial to compare your present study with existing works in the field. A comparative analysis will help highlight the uniqueness of your research and its contribution to the existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>I believe these suggestions will contribute to the overall quality and impact of your manuscript. Please consider these recommendations as opportunities to further enrich your work.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rahul V. Mapari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Government Science College, India</b>