

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_110470
Title of the Manuscript:	In silico analysis and 3-D structure prediction of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms (nsSNPs) in the human MED12 gene associated with uterine leiomyomas
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Detection at molecular level helps to confirm the disease and enables its subsequent treatment</li> <li>Computational tools can be used in efficient diagnosis of Uterine leiomyoma.</li> </ol> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient and recent</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Simran Kaur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary &amp; Animal Sciences, India</b>